

# **The *Law Enforcement (Powers and Responsibilities) Act***

**Jane Sanders, Solicitor, Shopfront Youth Legal Centre**

**Updated June 2007**

---

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Amendments</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Part 1: Preliminary</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Part 2: Powers of entry</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Part 3: Powers to require identity to be disclosed</b>	<b>6</b>
Division 1 – General power to require identity to be disclosed	6
Division 2 – Powers to require identity of drivers and passengers to be disclosed	6
Division 3 – Proof of identity	7
<b>Part 4: Search and seizure powers without warrant</b>	<b>7</b>
Division 1 – General personal search and seizure powers	7
Division 2 – Searches of persons on arrest or while in custody	8
Division 3 – Additional personal search and seizure powers in public places and schools	9
Division 4 – Provisions relating generally to personal searches	10
Division 5 – Vehicle stop, entry, search and roadblock powers	12
Division 6 – Vessel and aircraft entry and search powers	14
<b>Part 5: Search and seizure powers with warrant or other authority</b>	<b>15</b>
Division 1 - Definitions	15
Division 2 – police powers relating to warrants	15
Division 3 – Notices to produce documents	16
Division 4 – Provisions relating generally to warrants and notices to produce documents	17
Division 5 - Miscellaneous	19
<b>Part 6: Search, entry and seizure powers relating to domestic violence offences</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Part 6A: Emergency powers – public disorder</b>	<b>20</b>
Division 2 - Liquor restrictions	21
Division 3 - Special powers to prevent or control public disorders	22
Division 4 - Miscellaneous	24

<b>Part 7: Crime scenes</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Part 8: Powers relating to arrest</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Part 8A: Use of police in-car video equipment</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Part 9: Investigations and questioning</b>	<b>31</b>
Division 1 – Preliminary	32
Division 2 – Investigation and questioning powers	32
Division 3 – Safeguards relating to persons in custody for questioning	34
Division 4 – Regulations	36
<b>Part 10: Other powers relating to persons in custody and to other offenders</b>	<b>36</b>
Division 1 – Taking of identification particulars from persons in custody	36
Division 2 – Examination of persons in custody	38
Division 3 – Taking of identification particulars from other offenders	38
<b>Part 11: Drug detection powers</b>	<b>39</b>
Division 1 – Drug premises	39
Division 2 – Use of drug detection dogs	41
Division 3 – Use of medical imaging to search for internally concealed drugs	42
<b>Part 12: Powers relating to vehicles and traffic</b>	<b>47</b>
Division 1 – Regulation of traffic	47
Division 2 – Other police powers relating to vehicles	47
Division 3 – Powers to prevent intoxicated drivers from driving	47
<b>Part 13: Use of dogs to detect firearms and explosives</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Part 14: Powers to give directions</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>Part 15: Safeguards relating to powers</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Part 16: Powers relating to intoxicated persons</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Part 16A: Powers relating to fortified premises</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Part 17: Property in police custody</b>	<b>55</b>
Division 1 – Confiscated knives and other dangerous articles and implements	55
Division 2 – Other property in police custody	55

<b>Part 18: Use of force</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>Part 19: Miscellaneous</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>Schedules</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>58</b>

---

## Introduction

The *Law Enforcement (Powers and Responsibilities) Act 2002* (which rejoices in the acronym LEPAR) was introduced in 2001, in response to a Wood Royal Commission recommendation that NSW police powers be consolidated. Its commencement has been delayed for various reasons which need not be discussed in this paper.

LEPAR commenced on 1 December 2005 (except the in-car video provisions in Part 8A, which commenced on 23 December 2004).

- Most of the Act is essentially a cut-and-paste job, transferring existing provisions from various other Acts.
- There is also some attempt to enact the common law (eg powers of entry to prevent breach of the peace; use of force to effect arrest).
- The Act also creates some new powers (eg crime scene powers).
- There are some new safeguards, especially in relation to personal searches.
- Some existing police powers (eg forensic procedures, listening devices, some traffic-related powers) have not been incorporated into the new Act.
- There are also some LEPAR Regulations. These are mainly concerned with search warrants, detention after arrest and intoxicated persons, and will be referred to in this paper where relevant.

This paper aims to provide a section-by-section guide to LEPAR – its structure, which old legislative provisions have been replicated, and what has changed.

---

## Amendments

LEPAR has had several amendments since enactment. These amendments will be discussed in this paper; in the context of the relevant sections. The main amendments have been as follows:

### 1 December 2005

Some provisions of LEPAR (mainly concerning search warrants and crime scene warrants) were amended by the *Crimes and Courts Legislation Amendment Act 2005*. Most of these amendments commenced on 1 December 2005 (the same commencement date as LEPAR), and merely clarify or correct clumsy drafting,

### 15 December 2005

Some significant amendments were introduced by the *Law Enforcement Legislation Amendment (Public Safety) Act 2005*, which commenced on 15 December 2005. The most substantial amendment is the enactment of Part 6A, which gives police special powers in relation to public disorder. There have also been some amendments to other provisions (ss 14, 15, 36A and 38), mainly relating to vehicles and traffic.

### **12 December 2006**

The *Police Powers Legislation Amendment Act*, which commenced on 12 December 2006, made some small but significant changes in relation to personal searches, crime scene powers, directions and the type of information police must give when exercising their powers. It also makes some amendments to the provisions on taking and destruction of fingerprints.

### **15 December 2006**

The *Crimes Legislation Amendment (Gangs) Act 2006*, which commenced on 15 December 2006, amended LEPAR by giving police further powers to disperse groups in public disorder situations, further powers of entry and search of premises, and powers to remove fortifications to premises.

This Act also made some amendments to the *Crimes Act* (eg new offences relating to participation in criminal groups, new aggravated offences involving assault or malicious damage committed during a public disorder, and other amendments in relation to offences against law enforcement officers).

## **Part 1: Preliminary**

This contains all the usual preliminaries, including:

### **Section 3 – Definitions**

### **Section 4 – Relationship to common law and other matters**

Unless the Act “otherwise provides expressly or by implication”, the Act does not limit the functions, obligations and liabilities that police officers have at common law. Subject to s9, the Act does not affect common law powers to deal with breaches of the peace.

### **Section 5 - Relationship to other Acts**

The Act does not limit the functions that a police officer has under an Act or regulation specified in Schedule 1. This means, among other things, that the safeguards in s.201 do not apply to powers exercised under legislation listed in Schedule 1.

### **Section 6 – Inconsistency**

Where there is any inconsistency between a provision of LEPAR and a provision of another Act or regulation conferring functions on police (other than those listed in Schedule 1) LEPAR prevails to the extent of any inconsistency.

## **Part 2: Powers of entry**

### **Section 9 - Power to enter in emergencies**

Police may enter premises if they believe on reasonable grounds that a breach of the peace is being or is likely to be committed and it is necessary to enter immediately to end or prevent this.

Police are also empowered to enter to prevent significant physical injury to a person. Police are empowered to remain on the premises only as long as reasonably necessary in the circumstances.

*It is uncertain whether this provision merely reflects the common law or extends it. There appears to be no High Court or NSW appellate court authority on power of entry to prevent a breach of the peace. In Lipl v Haines (1989) 18 NSWLR 620, the issue for consideration was the power of entry to effect an arrest. Hope AJA (at 630, citing Swales v Cox [1981] QB 849) enumerated the circumstances in which police had power of entry. This did not include breach of the peace. In Plenty v Dillon (1991) 171 CLR 635, the High Court held that police do not have power of entry merely to serve a summons. Gaudron and McHugh JJ (at 647) again listed the circumstances in which police had power of entry, and again, breach of the peace was not included. However, given that neither of these cases concerned an alleged breach of the peace, I would not interpret either case as authority that police do not have such a power.*

*There is appellate court authority from other Australian jurisdictions (eg Panos v Haynes (1987) 44 SASR 148, Nicholson v Avon [1991] 1 VR 212, Cintana v Burgoyne [2003] NTSC 106) that police do have power to enter premises to prevent a breach of the peace.*

*“Breach of the peace” is not defined in LEPAR, nor is its scope clearly defined by the relevant case law. For examples of conduct that has been held to constitute a breach of the peace, see R v Van Bao Nguyen [2002] NTSC 38, per Angel J at paras 10-12, and the commentary at para [5.14554] in Vol 1 of Watson, Blackmore & Hosking Criminal Law (NSW) looseleaf service.*

*In general, noise, argument, swearing, etc does not of itself constitute a breach of the peace. There needs to be a threat of violence or property damage, and this may include the provocation of another person to violence. In Nicholson v Avon [1991] 1 VR 212, it was held that a very noisy party, in the early hours of the morning, and incurring complaints from a neighbour, did amount to a breach of the peace. Marks J said (at 221), “In my opinion, there is no conduct more likely to promote violence than prolonged disturbance of the sleep of neighbours by noise and behaviour of the kind disclosed.”*

### **Section 10 – Power to enter to arrest or detain someone or execute warrant**

Police may enter and stay for a reasonable time on premises to arrest a person, or detain a person under an Act, or arrest a person named in a warrant. They may only do so if they believe on reasonable grounds that the person is in the dwelling (*curiously, the word “dwelling” is used here, whereas all other references are to “premises”*). A police officer who enters premises under this section may search the premises for the relevant person.

*This section extends the common law powers of entry for effecting arrest without warrant, in that it relaxes both of the conditions to entry enunciated in Lipl v Haines (1989) 18 NSWLR 620, per Gleeson J at 622. The first condition of “reasonable and probable grounds” for believing, prior to entry, that the person sought for arrest is on the premises, has been softened so that “reasonableness” alone is sufficient. The second condition is set aside altogether so that there is no requirement of proper announcement to permit the occupier the opportunity to consent to entry. However, LEPAR s201 (see Part 15 – Safeguards) requires police to announce their office and the reason for the exercise of the power, if reasonably practicable, before or at the time of entry.*

---

## **Part 3: Powers to require identity to be disclosed**

### **Division 1 – General power to require identity to be disclosed**

Division 1 essentially replicates *Crimes Act* s.563.

#### **Section 11 – Identity may be required to be disclosed**

Police may request a person to disclose his or her identity if the officer suspects on reasonable grounds that the person may be able to assist in the investigation of an alleged indictable offence, by virtue of being at or near the scene of the alleged offence.

The procedural requirements that must be observed by police are the same as in former *Crimes Act* s.563, but are now set out in LEPAR s.201 (see Part 15 – Safeguards).

#### **Section 12 – Failure of person to disclose identity on request**

Replicates the offence provision formerly in *Crimes Act* s.563(3)(a). Failure or refusal to disclose identity, without reasonable excuse, attracts a maximum penalty of 2 penalty units. *Police must first have followed the requirements in s.201.*

#### **Section 13 – False or misleading information about identity**

Replicates another aspect of the offence provision currently contained in *Crimes Act* s.563(3)(b) and (c). Providing a name that is false in a material particular, or an address other than the person's full and correct address, attracts a maximum penalty of 2 penalty units. *Police must first have followed the requirements in s.201.*

### **Division 2 – Powers to require identity of drivers and passengers to be disclosed**

This Division replicates certain provisions of the *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act* 1998.

#### **Section 14 – Power of police officer to request disclosure of driver or passenger identity**

Replicates *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act* s.6(1). *Note that this and the following section have been amended by Law Enforcement Legislation Amendment (Public Safety) Act 2005 (commencing 15 December 2005) to require a driver to disclose the identity of a person who was previously driving the vehicle.*

A police officer who suspects on reasonable grounds that a vehicle is being, or was, or may have been used in or in connection with an indictable offence may request drivers and passengers to disclose their own or each other's identity. They may also request a vehicle's owner to disclose the identity of the driver and any passengers. They may also be required to disclose the identity of a person who was driving the vehicle a short time before. *Police must comply with the safeguards set out in s.201 (if not, the person cannot be guilty of an offence relating to failure to disclose identity).*

#### **Section 15 – Failure of driver to disclose identity**

Replicates *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act* s.7, with a minor amendment introduced by the *Law Enforcement Legislation Amendment (Public Safety) Act* 2005.

A driver who is requested to disclose his or her identity, or the identity of any passenger or previous driver must not, without reasonable excuse, fail or refuse to comply with the request. A driver who does not know the full and correct identity of any passenger or previous driver must disclose as much information as is known to him or her (maximum penalty: 50 penalty units and/or 12 months' imprisonment).

**Section 16 – Failure of passenger to disclose identity**

Replicates *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act* s.7A. This is a parallel provision creating offences for passengers who fail or refuse to disclose their own identity, or that of the driver or any other passenger (max penalty: 50 penalty units and/or 12 months' imprisonment).

**Section 17 – Failure of owner to disclose identity**

Replicates *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act* s.8. Creates an offence for an owner of a vehicle not to disclose the identity of any person the owner knows or has reason to suspect was the driver or a passenger (max penalty: 50 penalty units and/or 12 months' imprisonment).

**Section 18 – False or misleading information about identity**

Replicates *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act* s.9. Creates an offence of providing a false name or address (max penalty: 50 penalty units and/or 12 months' imprisonment).

**Division 3 – Proof of identity****Section 19 – Power of police officer to request proof of identity**

When requesting a person to disclose their identity under Part 2, police may also request proof of identity.

*It is not an offence under LEPAR to fail to provide proof of one's identity. However, this would of course be subject to provisions in other Acts, such as the requirement for a driver to produce their licence in accordance with Road Transport (General) Act 2005 s.171, or the requirements for young people in possession of alcohol to produce identity under Summary Offences Act s11.*

---

**Part 4: Search and seizure powers without warrant****Division 1 – General personal search and seizure powers****Section 20 – Relevant offences**

For the purposes of Division 1, “relevant offences” are defined to include indictable offences and certain firearms/weapons offences.

**Section 21 – Power to search persons and seize and detain things without warrant**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s 357(2)(a) and (3) and s.357E(a), and *Drug Misuse and Trafficking Act* s.37(4).

Police may stop, search and detain a person (and anything in the possession of or under the control of the person), if the police officer suspects on reasonable grounds that the person has:

- (a) anything stolen or otherwise unlawfully obtained;
- (b) anything used or intended to be used in or in connection with the commission of a relevant offence;
- (c) in a public place, a dangerous article that is being or was used in connection with the commission of a relevant offence (dangerous article is defined in s.3); or
- (d) a prohibited plant or prohibited drug.

Police may seize and detain relevant items found as a result of a search.

*Note that Part 4 Division 4 and Part 15 contain safeguards in relation to the exercise of search powers. These will be discussed below.*

**Section 21A – Ancillary power to search persons**

This section was added by the *Police Powers Legislation Amendment Act 2006*, which commenced on 12 December 2006.

If a police officer suspects on reasonable grounds that a thing referred to in s21(a) to (d) is concealed in the person's mouth or hair, the police officer may request the person to open his or her mouth or to shake or otherwise move his or her hair.

Subs(2) makes it clear that this does not authorise a police officer to forcibly open a person's mouth.

Failure to comply with such a request is an offence (maximum penalty 5 penalty units).

**Section 22 – Power to seize and detain dangerous articles on premises**

This replicates *Crimes Act* s.357(3). A police officer who is lawfully on any premises may seize and detain any dangerous article found on the premises, if the officer suspects on reasonable grounds that the article is being or was used in or in connection with a relevant offence.

**Division 2 – Searches of persons on arrest or while in custody****Section 23 – Power to carry out search on arrest**

This is similar to, but broader than, the current *Crimes Act* s.353A, which empowers police to search someone only if they are “in lawful custody upon a charge”.

Subs(1) provides that a person who is arrested *for an offence or under a warrant* may be searched if police suspect on reasonable grounds that “it is prudent to do so in order to ascertain whether the person is carrying anything that:

- (a) would present a danger to a person; or
- (b) could be used to assist a person to escape from police custody; or
- (c) is a thing with respect to which an offence has been committed; or
- (d) is a thing that will provide evidence of the commission of an offence; or
- (e) was used, or is intended to be used, in or in connection with the commission of an offence.”

Subs(2) applies to people who are arrested *for the purpose of taking a person into lawful custody* (eg this would apply to someone arrested for breach of bail). In this situation police are only empowered to search for things that would present a danger to a person or that could be used to assist a person to escape from lawful custody.

Subs(3) provides that police may seize and detain relevant items found during the search.

Subs(4) specifies that nothing in this section limits s.24.

**Section 24 – Power to carry out search of person in custody**

A police officer may search a person who is in lawful custody (whether at a police station or at any other place) and seize and detain anything found on that search. “Lawful custody” is defined in s3 as police custody.

*In view of the broad power conferred by s.24, s.23 would appear redundant. However, given that the power in s.23 may be exercised at the time of arrest, it could possibly apply to people who are in the process of being arrested but who are not yet in lawful custody.*

### **Division 3 – Additional personal search and seizure powers in public places and schools**

This replicates *Summary Offences Act* s.28A and related provisions concerning searching for knives and other dangerous implements.

#### **Section 25 – Definitions**

Defines “dangerous implement”, “dangerous article”, “knife” and “locker”.

*There is provision for regulations to exclude classes of items from the definition of “dangerous article” or “knife”, however there appear to be no relevant regulations at this stage.*

#### **Section 26 – Power to search for knives and other dangerous implements**

This replicates *Summary Offences Act* s.28A, with minor changes.

Police may *request* a person in a public place or school to submit to a frisk search if police suspect on reasonable grounds that the person has a dangerous implement in his or her custody.

In the case of school students, police may also request to search the student’s locker (including any bag or other personal effect inside) and/or any bag or other personal effect that is on or with the student (subs.(2)). Police must also (if reasonably possible to do so) allow the student to nominate an adult who is on the school premises to be present during the search (subs(4)).

Subs(3) provides that “the fact that a person is present in a location with a high incidence of violent crime may be taken into account in determining whether there are reasonable grounds to suspect that the person has a dangerous implement in his or her custody”. *Note, however, that this is only one factor contributing to the formation of reasonable suspicion, and the common law on reasonable suspicion still applies.*

Subs(5) provides that police may request the person to produce anything detected during a search that police have reasonable grounds to suspect is a dangerous implement, or anything indicated by a metal detector to be of a metallic nature.

Subs(6), which provided for police to give a second request and a warning to a person who failed to comply with the initial request, has been repealed as of 12 December 2006. However, note s.27, which still requires police to comply with s.201. If this has been done, and the person initially refuses to submit to the search, the police may again request the person to submit to the search and must again warn the person that failure to submit to the search may be an offence.

*The section refers to a “frisk search”, a term not used in previous legislation (see discussion of Division 4 below). Although slightly different in wording, it is broadly similar to the type of search currently permissible under Summary Offences Act s.28A.*

*Note that this section empowers police to request a person to undergo a search. It does not allow police to forcibly search the person; any search conducted over the person’s objection would be an assault. However, failure to comply with a request to be searched is an offence (and may conceivably result in arrest, whereupon police have broad powers to search under ss23 and 24).*

#### **Section 27 – Failure to comply with requests relating to search and dangerous implements**

Replicates *Summary Offences Act* s.28A(7). A person who, without reasonable excuse, fails to comply with a request to submit to a search in accordance with ss26 and 201, or fails or refuses to produce anything detected in such search, is liable to a maximum penalty of 5 penalty units.

#### **Section 28 – Power to confiscate knives or other dangerous implements**

In its original form, this section replicated *Summary Offences Act* s.28B.

Police may confiscate anything, in a public place or school, that they have reasonable grounds to suspect is a dangerous implement that is unlawfully in a person's custody. (Note that *Summary Offences Act* ss11B and 11C create offences of having custody of offensive implements and knives in public places/schools).

The section was amended on 12 December 2006 to provide that police may confiscate the item whether or not they have requested the person to produce it under s.26(5). This means that a knife or implement may be forcibly seized by the police.

Anything confiscated under this provision is to be dealt with in accordance with Division 1 of Part 17 (see below).

#### **Division 4 – Provisions relating generally to personal searches**

*This Division enacts some rules and safeguards which currently do not appear in NSW legislation (although some similar provisions exist in Commonwealth legislation).*

*LEPAR provides for three levels of personal searches, which are defined in s3 as follows:*

##### ***Frisk search:***

- (a) a search of a person conducted by quickly running the hands over the person's outer clothing or by passing an electronic metal detection device over or in close proximity to the person's outer clothing, and
- (b) an examination of anything worn or carried by the person that is conveniently and voluntarily removed by the person, including an examination conducted by passing an electronic metal detection device over or in close proximity to that thing.

##### ***Ordinary search:***

a search of a person or of articles in the possession of a person that may include:

- (a) requiring the person to remove only his or her overcoat, coat or jacket or similar article of clothing and any gloves, shoes, socks ("socks" was added on 12 December 2006) and hat; and
- (b) an examination of those items.

##### ***Strip search:***

a search of a person or of articles in the possession of a person that may include:

- (a) requiring the person to remove all of his or her clothes; and
- (b) an examination of the person's body (but not of the person's body cavities) and of those clothes.

#### **Section 29 – Application of Division**

Division 4 applies to all searches carried out under LEPAR *by a police officer or other person* (other than internal searches under Division 3 of Part 11), except as otherwise provided by the Act or Regulations.

#### **Section 30 – Frisk searches and ordinary searches**

A police officer or other person who is authorised to search a person may carry out a frisk search or an ordinary search.

#### **Section 31 – Strip searches**

A police officer (or other person authorised to perform a search) may conduct a strip search if "the police officer or other person suspects on reasonable grounds that it is necessary to conduct

a strip search of the person for the purposes of the search and that the seriousness and urgency of the circumstances require the strip search to be carried out”.

### **Section 32 – Preservation of privacy and dignity during search**

This section sets out procedures which police must, as far as is reasonably practicable in the circumstances, comply with.

Police must inform the person whether they will be required to remove clothing during the search, and why this is necessary (subs(2)).

Police must ask for the person’s co-operation (subs(3)).

The search must be conducted in a way that provides reasonable privacy for the person searched, and as quickly as is reasonably practicable (subs(4)).

Police must conduct the least invasive kind of search practicable in the circumstances (subs(5)).

Police must not search the person’s genital area (or the breasts of a female or a female-identifying trans-gender person) unless the police suspect on reasonable grounds that it is necessary to do for the purpose of the search (subs(6)).

The search must be conducted by a police officer or other person of the same sex as the person searched (or by a person of the same sex under the direction of the police officer or other person concerned) (subs(7)). (*This would appear to include even a metal detector search or a search of a person’s school locker.*)

A search must not be carried out while the person is being questioned. Any questioning that has commenced must be suspended while the search is carried out (subs(8)).

A person must be allowed to dress as soon as a search is finished (subs(9)).

If clothing is seized because of the search, the police officer must ensure the person searched is left with or given reasonably appropriate clothing (subs(10)).

### **Section 33 – Rules for conduct of strip searches**

Subss (1) – (3) provide further rules that must be complied with *as far as is reasonably practicable in the circumstances*.

A strip search must be conducted in a private area, must not be conducted in the presence or view of a person of the opposite sex and must not be conducted in the presence or view of a person whose presence is not necessary for the purposes of the search (apart from a support person as provided by the section) (subs(1)).

A parent, guardian or personal representative of the person being searched may be present if the person being searched has no objection (subs(2)).

A strip search of a child (at least 10 but under 18) or a person with impaired intellectual functioning must be conducted in the presence of a parent or guardian (or, if that is not acceptable to the person being searched, in the presence of another person who is capable of representing the interests of the person and who, as far as practicable in the circumstances, is acceptable to the person) (subs(3)).

The following rules in subs (4) – (6) are *mandatory*:

A strip search must not involve a search of a person’s body cavities or an examination of the body by touch (subs(4)).

Police must not remove more clothes than they believe on reasonable grounds to be reasonably necessary for the purposes of the search (subs(5)).

There is a similar restriction on visual inspection (subs(6)).

A strip search may be conducted in the presence of a medical practitioner of the opposite sex if the person being searched has no objection to that person being present (subs(7)).

Subs (8) makes it clear that s33 applies in addition to other requirements of the Act relating to searches.

Subs (9) defines “impaired intellectual functioning”. It is a broad definition that would include intellectual disability, learning disabilities, acquired brain injury and many types of mental illness.

### **Section 34 – No strip searches of children under 10 years**

A strip search must not be conducted on a person who is under the age of 10 years. *This implies that other searches may be conducted on persons under 10, which is disturbing, given that a child under 10 cannot be criminally responsible for any offence.*

#### **Comments on search provisions**

*The new provisions in Division 4 are encouraging, but will probably do little to ensure that police conduct searches in an appropriate manner. Many of the rules and safeguards apply only if reasonably practicable in the circumstances, and police are empowered to do almost anything if they believe on reasonable grounds that it is necessary.*

*Police are already subject to guidelines in relation to searches, mostly contained in the Police Code of Practice for Custody, Rights, Investigation, Management and Evidence (CRIME) (available at [http://www.police.nsw.gov.au/about\\_us/acts\\_and\\_legislations/legislation\\_list/code\\_of\\_practice\\_for\\_crime](http://www.police.nsw.gov.au/about_us/acts_and_legislations/legislation_list/code_of_practice_for_crime)).*

*For example, the Code of Practice for CRIME provides that strip searches must not be carried out unless “the seriousness and urgency of the circumstances require and justify such an intrusive search of the body”. If my clients’ accounts are anything to go by, it appears that strip searches are often carried out as a matter of routine.*

*The safeguards in s201 (which requires police to provide information such as their name and place of duty, and the reason for the search) are also a positive development. However, it remains to be seen whether LEPAR will bring about a change in police searching practices.*

*Unfortunately there is still no legislative safeguard to protect people who are searched by “consent” (eg “would you mind emptying your pockets mate?” or “have you got anything on you that you shouldn’t have?” whereupon the young person “voluntarily” produces a bag of pot, pocket knife, etc). If a person voluntarily consents to a search, police need not demonstrate reasonable suspicion. In DPP v Leonard (2001) 53 NSWLR 227, it was held that a person may validly consent to a search even if not aware of the right to refuse (although it was held that such lack of awareness may be relevant to the issue of consent in some cases).*

*Will the new safeguards apply to searches by consent? Or will a search by consent be deemed not to be a search under LEPAR or, worse still (especially in the “have you got anything on you that you shouldn’t have?” situation), not even a search at all?*

## **Division 5 – Vehicle stop, entry, search and roadblock powers**

### **Section 35 – Relevant offences**

For the purposes of this Division, relevant offences are defined in identical terms to s.20.

**Section 36 – Power to search vehicles and seize things without warrant**

Replicates parts of *Crimes Act* s.357 and 357E, *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act* s.10, and *Drug Misuse and Trafficking Act* s.37.

The power to stop, search and detain vehicles may be exercised on similar grounds to the power to stop and search individuals.

Police may also stop and search a vehicle, or a specified class of vehicles, if they suspect on reasonable grounds that:

- the vehicle (or a vehicle of the specified class) is being (or was or may have been) used in connection with the commission of a relevant offence; or
- circumstances exist in a public place or school that are likely to give rise to a serious risk to public safety and that the exercise of the powers may lessen the risk.

As with other search powers, police may seize and detain certain types of items found as a result of the search.

**Section 36A – Power to stop vehicles**

*This section was added by Law Enforcement Legislation Amendment (Public Safety) Act 2005 (commencing 15 December 2005).*

Police may stop a vehicle if they suspect on reasonable grounds that the driver or a passenger is a person in respect of whom the police officer has grounds to exercise a power of arrest or detention, or a search power, under LEPAR or any other law.

**Section 37 – Powers to stop vehicles and erect roadblocks**

Replicates *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act* s.10 (1) and (2).

Subs(1) defines “vehicle roadblock powers”.

Subs(2) provides that a senior police officer may authorise a police officer to use vehicle roadblock powers on any specified class of vehicles, provided he or she suspects on reasonable grounds that the vehicle was (or is being or may have been) used in connection with an indictable offence and the exercise of powers may provide evidence, or that circumstances exist that are likely to give rise to a serious risk to public safety and the exercise of the powers may lessen the risk.

Subs(3) provides that powers may be exercised without authorisation where the seriousness and urgency of the circumstances require the powers to be exercised without obtaining the authorisation. Subs(4) requires the police officer to notify a senior police officer as soon as practicable and to obtain an authorisation for any ongoing action.

**Section 38 – Power to give reasonable directions**

Replicates *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act* s.10(3).

A police officer who exercises a stop, search or detention power under this Division, or who is authorised to exercise the roadblock power, has the power to give reasonable directions to any person in/on the vehicle concerned, or on or in the vicinity of a road, road-related area, public place or school.

**Section 39 – Failure to comply with directions**

Replicates *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act* s.10(5).

A person must not, without reasonable excuse, fail or refuse to stop the vehicle they are driving or to comply with any other direction given by a police officer (max penalty 50 penalty units and/or 12 months imprisonment). *Police must first have followed the procedures set out in s201.*

#### **Section 40 – Duration and form of roadblock authorisation**

Replicates *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act* s.11.

A roadblock authorisation may be given verbally or in writing, and is in effect for 6 hours (or a lesser period if specified by the senior police officer). Nothing prevents a further authorisation for the same vehicle.

#### **Section 41 – Record of roadblock authorisation**

Replicates *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act* s.12.

Provides the details that must be included in a written roadblock authorisation. If the authorisation is made verbally, a record should be made as soon as practically possible.

### **Division 6 – Vessel and aircraft entry and search powers**

#### **Section 42 – Power to search vessels and aircraft and seize things without warrant**

Replicates parts of *Crimes Act* sections 357, 357D and 357E.

A police officer may stop, search and detain a vessel or aircraft if he or she suspects on reasonable grounds that the vessel or aircraft:

- (a) contains (or a person inside possesses) anything stolen or unlawfully obtained,
- (b) was (or is being or may have been) used in connection with a relevant offence (“relevant offence” is defined in terms identical to ss20 and 35),
- (c) contains anything used (or intended to be used) in connection with a relevant offence, or
- (d) is in a public place or school and contains a dangerous article was used (or may have been used) in connection with a relevant offence.

As with other search powers, police may seize and detain certain types of items found as a result of the search.

#### **Section 43 – Power to board vessels**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.357C.

Provides for an authorised police officer (of or above the rank of Sergeant, in charge of a police station, or in charge of a police vessel) to take certain actions if he or she suspects on reasonable grounds that action is necessary to:

- (a) prevent, on a vessel, injury to people or damage to property by fire or otherwise, or
- (b) preserve peace and good order on a vessel, or
- (c) prevent, detect or investigate any offence that may be (or may have been) committed on a vessel.

Such actions include entering any part of the vessel, searching, and taking all necessary measures for preventing injury to persons or damage to property, and for preserving peace and good order or for preventing, detecting or investigating any offences that may have been committed on the vessel.

#### **Section 44 – Power to search aircraft for safety reasons**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.357A.

An authorised person (the commander of an aircraft, or a person authorised in writing by an authorised officer) may search an aircraft, or anyone on board or about to board, and any luggage or freight, if the person suspects on reasonable grounds that an offence involving the safety of the aircraft is being (or was, or may have been) committed on board or in relation to the aircraft.

A search of a person must be conducted by a person of the same sex as the person being searched.

### **Section 45 – Search powers relating to prohibited plants and prohibited drugs**

Replicates *Drug Misuse and Trafficking Act* s.37(4)

An authorised police officer (of or above the rank of Sergeant, in charge of a police station, or in charge of a police vessel) may stop and detain, enter any part, and search and inspect a vessel or aircraft, if the police officer reasonably suspects that there is in a vessel or aircraft a prohibited plant or prohibited drug that is, in contravention of the *Drug Misuse and Trafficking Act 1985*, in the possession or under the control of any person.

---

## **Part 5: Search and seizure powers with warrant or other authority**

This part substantially replicates the *Search Warrants Act 1985*. It also creates a new procedure for a notice to produce to be served on a financial institution instead of a search warrant being executed. Part 2 of the *LEPAR Regulations* essentially re-enacts the *Search Warrants Regulations*, with the addition of provisions relating to notices to produce.

For a very helpful discussion of search warrants, please see the paper by Richard Wilson of Garfield Barwick Chambers (latest version September 2005).

### **Division 1 - Definitions**

#### **Section 46 - Definitions**

Defines “occupier’s notice”, “telephone warrant” and “thing connected with an offence”.

### **Division 2 – police powers relating to warrants**

#### **Section 47 – Power to apply for warrant for particular offences**

Substantially replicates *Search Warrants Act* s5(1).

An police officer may apply to an authorised officer for a search warrant if he or she suspects on reasonable grounds that there is (or will be within 72 hours) on the premises a thing connected with a particular type of offence.

The new section includes a power to apply for warrants in connection with child pornography and child prostitution offences (formerly in *Crimes Act* s357EA).

#### **Section 48 – Issue of warrant**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s6.

An authorised officer may issue a warrant, conferring a power to enter and search premises, if satisfied that there are reasonable grounds for doing so.

#### **Section 49 – Seizure of things pursuant to warrant**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s7.

Allows police to seize and detain things found pursuant to the warrant (or other things found in the course of execution the warrant that police believe on reasonable grounds to be connected with any offence).

### **Section 50 - Search of persons pursuant to warrant**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s8(a).

A police officer may search a person on the premises whom he or she reasonably suspects of having a thing mentioned in the warrant.

*The power to arrest a person, formerly in Search Warrants Act s8(b), is now in LEPAR s99.*

### **Section 51 – Inquiries pursuant to warrant related to child prostitution offences**

A police officer executing a search warrant in relation a child prostitution offence may, in the subject premises, make inquiries relating to any such offence.

### **Section 52 – Obstruction or hindrance of person executing warrant**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s9.

A person must not, without reasonable excuse, obstruct or hinder the execution of a warrant (maximum penalty 100 penalty units and/or 2 years' imprisonment).

## **Division 3 – Notices to produce documents**

### **Section 53 – Notices to produce documents**

A police officer who believes on reasonable grounds that an authorised deposit-taking institution (*I cannot find a definition of this term anywhere in the Act or Regulations*) holds documents that may be connected with an offence committed by someone else, may apply to an authorised officer for a notice to produce. The option of applying for a search warrant remains.

### **Section 54 – Issue of notice to produce documents**

An authorised officer may issue a notice to produce a document if satisfied there are reasonable grounds to suspect that the authorised deposit-taking institution holds documents that may be connected with an offence, and the institution is not a party to the offence. The notice may state the time, place and form of production of the documents. A police officer must give notice to the institution as soon as reasonably practicable after it is issued.

### **Section 55 – Information in application for notice to produce documents**

An application for a notice to produce must state the name of institution.

### **Section 56 – Claims of privilege**

If privilege is claimed over the documents, police may apply to a Magistrate for an order for access.

### **Section 57 – Obligations and liability of authorised deposit-taking institution**

An authorised deposit-taking institution is not subject to any action, liability, claim, or demand for complying with the notice to produce. Failure or refusal to comply, without reasonable excuse, is an offence (maximum penalty 100 penalty units and/or 2 years' imprisonment).

### **Section 58 – Produced document taken to be seized**

A document produced under a notice to produce is deemed to have been seized under LEPAR.

## **Division 4 – Provisions relating generally to warrants and notices to produce documents**

### **Section 59 – Application of Division**

This Division also applies to warrants issued under other parts of LEPAR (in the case of some types of warrants, eg drug detection, detention warrants, certain provisions do not apply) and to warrants issued under certain other Acts (see Schedule 2).

### **Section 60 – Application for warrant in person**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s11.

An application must be made in person (subject of course to s12), in the form prescribed by the Regulations.

### **Section 61 – Telephone warrant**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s12.

Provides that an application may be made by telephone (which is defined in s3 to include “radio, facsimile and any other communication device”) in urgent cases.

### **Section 62 – Information in application for warrant**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s12A.

List the information that must be included in a warrant application.

### **Section 63 – False or misleading information in applications**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s12B.

It is an offence to knowingly provide false or misleading information in a warrant application (maximum penalty 100 penalty units and/or 2 years’ imprisonment).

### **Section 64 – Further application for warrant after refusal**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s12C.

Provides limits on further warrant applications if the first application is refused.

### **Section 65 – Record of proceedings before authorised officer**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s13.

A record must be made of all relevant particulars of the grounds relied on by the authorised officer to justify the issue of the warrant.

### **Section 66 – Form of warrant**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s14.

A warrant is to be in the form prescribed by the Regulations.

### **Section 67 – Notice to occupier of premises entered pursuant to warrant**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s15.

Provides for an occupier’s notice to be served on entry or as soon as practicable after entry. However, service may be postponed for up to 6 months if the authorised officer who issued the warrant is satisfied that there are reasonable grounds for postponement.

### **Section 68 – Announcement before entry**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s15A.

Police must make an announcement before entry into the premises, and give the occupier the opportunity to allow entry, unless there are reasonable grounds to believe that immediate entry is required to ensure a person's safety or effective execution of the warrant.

### **Section 69 – Duty to show warrant**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s16.

The warrant must be produced for inspection if requested by the occupier.

### **Section 70 – Use of force to enter premises**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s17.

Force may be used to enter the premises (or to break open any receptacle inside) if it is reasonably necessary to do so.

### **Section 71 – Use of assistants to execute warrant**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s18.

Assistants may be used to execute a warrant if considered necessary.

### **Section 72 – Execution of warrant by day or night**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s19.

A search warrant must be executed by day (6am-9pm), unless the authorised officer allows it to be executed by night. The section provides factors to be taken into account in deciding whether to authorise execution by night.

### **Section 73 – Expiry of warrant**

Substantially replicates *Search Warrants Act* s20, with some amendments. *Note that s73 was first amended by the Crimes Legislation (Further Amendment) Act 2005, and again by the Crimes and Courts Legislation Amendment Act 2005, apparently to overcome some drafting problems. These amendments commenced on 1 December 2005 along with the rest of the Act.*

A telephone warrant expires upon execution, or 24 hours after issue, whichever occurs first.

A telephone crime scene warrant expires 24 hours after issue.

A crime scene warrant expires at the time fixed for its expiry.

All other warrants expire upon execution, or at the time fixed for their expiry, whichever occurs first.

All warrants (apart from telephone warrants) must specify an expiry time (no later than 72 hours after issue, although this may be extended up to a maximum of 144 hours).

### **Section 73A – Extension of warrant**

*This provision was added by the Crimes and Courts Legislation Amendment Act 2005, commencing on 1 December 2005.*

Provides for warrants to be extended if they cannot be executed (or their purpose cannot be satisfied) by the expiry time. There are different extension periods for different types of warrant. Most warrants can be extended up to a maximum of 144 hours; telephone crime scene warrants may be extended twice, for up to 60 hours at a time. Other telephone warrants may not be extended.

### **Section 74 – Report to authorised officer on execution of warrant**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s21.

A report must be given to the authorised officer within 10 days of the warrant's execution or expiry.

#### **Section 75 – Death, absence of authorised officer who issued warrant**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s22.

If the authorised officer who issued the warrant has died, ceased to be an authorised officer or is absent, the report may be furnished to any other authorised officer.

#### **Section 76 – Defects in warrants**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s23.

A defect in the warrant (other than a defect that affects the substance of the warrant) does not make it invalid.

### **Division 5 - Miscellaneous**

#### **Section 77 – Abolition of common law search warrants**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s24.

Provides that common law search warrants are abolished.

#### **Section 78 – Ministerial arrangements for things seized in connection with extra-territorial offences**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s24A.

Ministerial arrangements may be made for things seized in connection with offences against the law of another Australian state or territory.

#### **Section 79 – References in other Acts to “authorised justice” or “authorised officer”**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s25B.

Any reference in any other Act to “authorised justice” or “authorised officer”, in relation to a warrant to which Division 4 applies, is to be read as a reference to an authorised officer within the meaning of LEPAR.

#### **Section 80 – Application of warrant provisions**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s26(4) and (5).

The Regulations may apply to search warrants issued under the *National Electricity (NSW) Law* and *Gas Pipeline Access (NSW) Law*.

---

## **Part 6: Search, entry and seizure powers relating to domestic violence offences**

### **Section 81 - Definitions**

Defines various terms concerned with domestic relationships and domestic violence offences.

### **Section 82 – Entry by invitation**

Substantially replicates *Crimes Act* s357F. Amended by *Police Powers Legislation Amendment Act 2006*, commencing 12 December 2006.

A police officer who believes on reasonable grounds that a domestic violence offence is being (or may have been recently, or is likely to be) committed in any dwelling (defined in s3) may

enter if invited to do so by any person who apparently resides there. Even if refused entry by another occupier, police may enter if invited to do so by the apparent victim of the offence.

Police may enter and remain for the purpose of investigating whether a domestic violence offence has been committed, and/or to take action to prevent the commission of a domestic violence offence.

### **Section 83 – Entry by warrant where entry denied**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s357G(3).

If entry has been denied, and a police officer suspects that a domestic violence offence is being (or may have been recently, or is likely to be) committed in the dwelling, *and* that immediate entry is necessary to investigate or prevent the commission of a domestic violence offence, police may apply for a warrant. Part 5 Division 4 applies to warrants under this section (except an occupier's notice is not required).

### **Section 84 – Obstruction or hindrance of person executing warrant**

Replicates *Search Warrants Act* s9.

It is an offence to obstruct or hinder execution of a warrant, without reasonable excuse (maximum penalty 100 penalty units and/or 2 years' imprisonment).

### **Section 85 – Powers that may be exercised on entry into premises**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s357H.

Police may take only the action in the dwelling that is necessary to: investigate whether a domestic violence offence has been committed; render aid; exercise any lawful power to arrest; prevent the commission of a domestic violence offence.

Police must inquire whether firearms are present in the dwelling, and if informed that there are firearms, take such action as is reasonably practicable to search for, seize and detain them.

Police must only remain in the dwelling as long as necessary to take the actions required.

### **Section 86 – Police may enter and search for firearms**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s357I.

Where on inquiry a police officer is informed there are no firearms in the dwelling, but believes on reasonable grounds there are firearms present, he or she may apply for a search warrant.

Police *must* apply for a search warrant if they believe on reasonable grounds that a domestic violence offence is being (or may have been recently, or is likely to be) committed *otherwise than in a dwelling*, and any of the persons concerned may have a firearm in a dwelling.

### **Section 87 – Search and seizure powers**

Substantially replicates *Crimes Act* s357 (4).

The new section, which provides for the search and seizure of a “dangerous article” (defined in s3), is broader than its predecessor, which referred only to firearms and spear guns.

## **Part 6A: Emergency powers – public disorder**

This Part was introduced by the *Law Enforcement Legislation Amendment (Public Safety) Act* 2005, which commenced on 15 December 2005. Some amendments commenced on 12 and 15 December 2006.

## Division 1- Preliminary

### Section 87A – Definitions

Defines various terms including “public disorder”, which means “a riot or other civil disturbance that gives rise to a serious risk to public safety, whether at a single location or resulting from a series of incidents in the same or different location”.

Although Part 6A contains references to “large-scale public disorder” there is no definition of “large-scale”.

## Division 2 - Liquor restrictions

### Section 87B – Emergency prohibition of sale or supply of liquor

A police officer of or above the rank of Superintendent may authorise the closure of any licensed premises (or the prohibition of the supply of liquor on such premises) if the police officer:

- (a) has reasonable grounds for believing that there is a large scale public disorder occurring in the vicinity (or there is a threat of such a disorder occurring in the near future), and
- (b) is satisfied that the closure or prohibition will reasonably assist in preventing or controlling the public disorder.

The authorisation may be given orally or in writing (but if given orally it must be confirmed in writing as soon as reasonably practicable).

The authorisation may be given for as long as reasonably necessary, but may not exceed 48 hours. (Note that the *Liquor Act* also confers certain powers relating to closure of licensed premises).

An authorisation may be revoked at any time by a police officer of or above the rank of Superintendent (amended from “Inspector” on 12 December 2006) if he or she is satisfied that it is no longer necessary.

Police may direct persons in charge of licensed venues to close a venue or to stop selling liquor. *This power would be subject to the safeguards in section 201.* It is an offence to disobey such a direction (maximum penalty 50 penalty units and/or 12 months’ imprisonment).

### Section 87C – Emergency alcohol-free zones

A police officer of or above the rank of Superintendent may (by instrument in writing) establish in an area within a public place an emergency alcohol-free zone if the police officer:

- (a) has reasonable grounds for believing that there is a large scale public disorder occurring in the vicinity (or there is a threat of such a disorder occurring in the near future), and
- (b) is satisfied that the establishment of the zone will reasonably assist in preventing or controlling the public disorder.

As with an authorisation under section 87B, an emergency alcohol-free zone may be declared for up to 48 hours. It may be revoked by an officer at or above the rank of Superintendent if considered no longer necessary.

A police officer who finds anyone drinking or in possession of liquor in the zone may warn them that it is an offence to drink liquor in the zone and that any liquor in the person’s possession may be confiscated unless it is immediately removed from the zone.

A person who drinks in the zone after receiving such a warning, is guilty of an offence (maximum penalty 10 penalty units). A person who has committed such an offence, or who fails to remove their alcohol from the zone after being given a warning, may have their alcohol

confiscated (note that the option of requiring a person to put their liquor away was removed by legislative amendment on 12 December 2006).

### **Division 3 - Special powers to prevent or control public disorders**

#### **Section 87D – Authorisation of special powers to prevent or control public disorder in public place**

An authorisation for the exercise in a public place of special powers conferred by Division 3 may be given if the police officer giving the authorisation:

- (a) has reasonable grounds for believing that there is a large scale public disorder occurring or a threat of such disorder occurring in the near future; and
- (b) is satisfied that the exercise of those powers is reasonably necessary to prevent or control the public disorder.

#### **Section 87E – Target of authorisation**

An authorisation may authorise the exercise of special powers in a public place:

- (a) for the purpose of preventing or controlling a public disorder in a particular area described in the authorisation; or
- (b) for the purpose of preventing persons travelling by a road specified in the authorisation to an area to create or participate in a public disorder.

The area or road is referred to as the “target” of the authorisation.

#### **Section 87F – Giving of authorisation**

An authorisation may only be given by the Commissioner of Police or by a Deputy or Assistant Commissioner. The power may not be delegated.

The authorisation may be given orally or in writing (if given orally, must be confirmed in writing as soon as reasonably practicable).

The authorisation must state that it is given under Division 3, describe the general nature of the public disorder to which it applies (including the day or days it occurs or is likely to occur), describe the target area or specify the target road, and specify the time it ceases to have effect.

#### **Section 87G – Duration and revocation of authorisation**

An authorisation may be given for the period considered reasonably necessary, up to a maximum of 48 hours. An authorisation may be extended beyond 48 hours upon application to the Supreme Court.

The Commissioner (or a Deputy or Assistant Commissioner) may revoke the authorisation at any time, and must revoke it if directed to do so by the Supreme Court.

#### **Section 87H – Exercise of special powers conferred by authorisation by police officers**

Provides that the special powers conferred by Division 3 may be exercised by any police officer in a public place for the purposes for which an authorisation is given (whether or not the police officer has been provided with or notified of the terms of the authorisation).

#### **Section 87I – Power to place or establish cordon or road block**

Police may (for the purpose of stopping and searching persons and vehicles under Division 3, or preventing persons entering or leaving an area without permission) place a cordon around a target area or any part of it, or establish a road block on a target road (including any road in a target area).

Police must not refuse permission for a person to leave the area unless it is reasonably necessary to do so to avoid a risk to public safety or to the person's own safety.

### **Section 87J – Power to stop and search vehicles**

Police may stop and search a vehicle (and anything in or on the vehicle) if the vehicle is in a target area or on a target road.

No warrant or reasonable suspicion is required. Police may detain a vehicle for as long as is reasonably necessary to conduct a search.

### **Section 87K – Power to search persons**

Police may stop and search a person (and anything in the person's possession or control) if the person is in a target area or is in or on a vehicle on a target road.

No warrant or reasonable suspicion is required. Police may detain a person for as long as is reasonably necessary to conduct a search.

The search safeguards in Division 4 of Part 4 apply to a search under this section (except to the extent that it authorises strip searches).

### **Section 87L – Power to obtain disclosure of identity**

Police may request a person to disclose their identity if the person is in a target area or in or on a vehicle on a target road. Police may also request the person to produce proof of identity. They may only do so if the person's identity is unknown to the police officer, and if the police officer reasonably suspects that the person has been involved or is likely to be involved in a public disorder.

Failure to disclose identity, or providing false details, is an offence (maximum penalty 50 penalty units and/or 12 months' imprisonment). *Police must first follow the procedures set out in s.201.*

### **Section 87M – Power to seize and detain things**

Police may seize and detain a vehicle, mobile phone or other communication device if the seizure or detention will assist in preventing or controlling a public disorder (*this is a curiously drafted provision; it would appear to require the police officer to be certain, rather than merely to have a reasonable suspicion or belief, that the seizure will achieve its intended purpose*).

Items seized may be detained for up to 7 days. This period may be extended by the Local Court for an additional period not exceeding 14 days. The Local Court may order more than one extension, so long as each extension does not exceed 14 days.

Police may also seize and detain anything, including a vehicle, that they reasonably suspect may provide evidence of a serious indictable offence (whether or not related to a public disorder).

### **Section 87MA – Power to disperse groups**

This power was inserted by the *Crimes Legislation Amendment (Gangs) Act 2006*, with some amendments made by the *Police Powers Legislation Amendment Bill 2006*. It commenced on 15 December 2006.

Police may give a direction to any group of persons (or any members of that group) within a target area to disperse immediately. It appears that the police officer does not have to suspect or believe that dispersing the group is necessary to prevent control of the public disorder.

Police must give the direction in a manner that is likely to be audible to all members of the group (or to as many of them as practicable) but it is not necessary to repeat the direction to each person in the group.

The police officer giving the direction must warn the person that the direction is given for the purpose of preventing or controlling a public disorder.

Failure to comply with such a direction, without reasonable excuse, is an offence. The maximum penalty is 50 penalty units (which is significantly greater than the penalty for disobeying a direction under part 14).

### **Section 87N – Powers exercisable without authorisation under this Division**

If a police officer stops a vehicle on a road *that is not a target road or not in a target area*, (pursuant to a power conferred by LEPAR or any other Act), the special powers conferred by Division 3 (except the powers to disperse groups under s87MA) *may be exercised without authorisation* if the police officer:

- (a) has reasonable grounds for believing that there is a large scale public disorder occurring or a threat of such disorder occurring in the near future, and
- (b) suspects on reasonable grounds that the occupants of the vehicle have participated or intend to participate in the public disorder, and
- (c) is satisfied that the exercise of those powers is reasonably necessary to prevent or control the public disorder, and
- (d) is satisfied that the urgency of the circumstances require the powers to be exercised without an authorisation.

## **Division 4 - Miscellaneous**

### **Section 87O – Monitoring by Ombudsman**

Provides for the Ombudsman to keep under scrutiny the powers conferred by Part 6A. The Ombudsman is to furnish a report as soon as practicable after 18 months from the commencement of Part 6A (ie. 15 June 2007).

### **Section 87P – Sunset provision**

Part 6A is repealed two years after its commencement (ie. 15 December 2007).

## **Part 7: Crime scenes**

Part 7 introduced a new regime of crime scene powers.

Police may establish a crime scene in a public place, and exercise most crime scene powers (listed in s95) without a crime scene warrant.

Note that “public place” is defined very broadly in s3, and could conceivably include a range of privately-owned premises.

For premises that are not a public place, a warrant will be required to effect entry (unless police already have lawful power of entry), to exercise certain crime scene powers, to maintain a crime scene for more than 3 hours, or to establish a crime scene more than once in a 24-hour period.

Some amendments were made by the *Police Powers Legislation Amendment Act 2006*, commencing on 12 December 2006. Among other things, these make it clear that not all crime scene powers may be exercised in a public place without a warrant.

### **Section 88 – Crime scene powers may be exercised if police officer lawfully on premises**

A police officer lawfully on premises (whether by a crime scene warrant or otherwise) may establish a crime scene, exercise crime scene powers in accordance with this Part, and stay on

the premises for those purposes. *Note that “premises” is defined in s3 to include “any place, whether built on or not”.*

### **Section 89 – Application of Part to premises**

Part 7 applies to any kind of premises, whether public or not.

Despite any other provision of Part 7, a police officer *may exercise most crime scene powers at a crime scene in a public place without obtaining a crime scene warrant* and the provisions of this Part apply accordingly.

As of 12 December 2006, a power involving seizing, detaining or searching a vehicle, vessel or aircraft in a crime scene in a public place may be exercised only if authorised by a crime scene warrant or other lawful authority, or if the police officer suspects on reasonable grounds that it is necessary to preserve, or search for a gather, evidence relating to the offence in connection with which the crime scene was established.

### **Section 90 - When crime scene may be established**

Subs(1) provides that a crime scene may be established on premises if a police officer suspects on reasonable grounds that:

- (a) an offence committed in connection with a traffic accident that has resulted in the death of or serious injury to a person is being (or was, or may have been) committed on the premises, or
- (b) a serious indictable offence is being, (or was, or may have been) committed on the premises, or
- (c) there may be in or on the premises evidence of the commission of a serious indictable offence that may have been committed elsewhere (subs(2) provides for the establishment of a crime scene in respect of acts or omissions occurring outside NSW).

and that it is reasonably necessary to establish a crime scene in or on the premises to preserve, or search for and gather, evidence of the commission of that offence.

Subs (1A) was inserted on 12 December 2006, to make it clear that a crime scene may also be established by a police officer pursuant to a crime scene warrant.

### **Section 91 - Establishment of crime scene**

A police officer may establish a crime scene on premises in any way appropriate in the circumstances, and must (if reasonably appropriate in the circumstances) give the public notice that the premises are a crime scene.

*There appears to be no provision specifying how the police officer is to notify the public, or when premises cease to be a crime scene.*

### **Section 92 - Exercise of powers at crime scene**

A police officer may exercise the powers in s95(1)(a)-(f) if he or she suspects on reasonable grounds that it is necessary to preserve evidence of the commission of an offence in relation to which the crime scene was established.

Other powers in s95(1) may be exercised provided there is an application for a crime scene warrant, *and* if the police officer suspects on reasonable grounds that it is necessary to immediately exercise the power to preserve evidence.

The amendments which commenced on 12 December 2006 specify that a crime scene power that may be exercised under this section (other than the powers in s95(1)(a)-(f) and (k)) may be exercised by any member of NSW Police responsible for examining or maintaining a crime

scene, but only with the authority of the officer who established the crime scene or who is responsible for the crime scene at the time.

Crime scene powers exercised under this section may be exercised with the aid of such assistants as the police officer considers necessary.

These powers may not be exercised for more than 3 hours without a crime scene warrant.

A crime scene may not be established at the same premises more than once within a 24-hour period, unless a crime scene warrant is obtained for the second or subsequent occasion

*By virtue of s89, it appears that the above requirements for warrants do not apply to a crime scene in a public place.*

### **Section 93 - Notice to senior police officer where warrant not required**

If the crime scene is established for 3 hours or less (otherwise than by authority of a crime scene warrant), a senior police officer must be notified.

### **Section 94 - Crime scene warrants**

*There have been some amendments to this section, commencing on 12 December 2006.*

Provides for the issue of a crime scene warrant where a police officer suspects on reasonable grounds that it is necessary to exercise crime scene powers at specified premises for the purpose of preserving, or searching for and gathering, evidence of a serious indictable offence, or an offence that was (or may have been) committed in connection with a traffic accident resulting in death or serious injury.

A crime scene warrant authorises police to enter premises, establish a crime scene (if not already established) and to exercise all reasonably necessary crime scene powers at a specified crime scene.

Crime scene powers (other than those in section 95(1)(a)-(f) and (k) may be exercised by any member of NSW Police responsible for examining or maintaining a crime scene, but only with the authority of a police officer who is responsible for executing the warrant.

Part 5 Division 4 applies to applications for crime scene warrants.

### **Section 95 - Crime scene powers**

Subs(1) lists crime scene powers (see s92 for circumstances under which they may be used):

- (a) direct a person to leave the crime scene or to remove a vehicle, vessel or aircraft from the crime scene,
- (b) remove from the crime scene a person who fails to comply with a direction to leave the crime scene or a vehicle, vessel or aircraft a person fails to remove from the crime scene,
- (c) direct a person not to enter the crime scene,
- (d) prevent a person from entering the crime scene,
- (e) prevent a person from removing evidence from or otherwise interfering with the crime scene or anything in it and, for that purpose, detain and search the person,
- (f) remove or cause to be removed an obstruction from the crime scene,
- (g) perform any necessary investigation, including, for example, search the crime scene and inspect anything in it to obtain evidence of the commission of an offence,
- (h) for the purpose of performing any necessary investigation, conduct any examination or process,

- (i) open anything at the crime scene that is locked,
- (j) take electricity, gas or any other utility, for use at the crime scene,
- (k) direct the occupier of the premises or a person apparently involved in the management or control of the premises to maintain a continuous supply of electricity at the premises,
- (l) photograph or otherwise record the crime scene and anything in it,
- (m) seize and detain all or part of a thing that might provide evidence of the commission of an offence (this includes removing the thing or guarding it at the crime scene – subs(2))
- (n) dig up anything at the crime scene,
- (o) remove wall or ceiling linings or floors of a building, or panels of a vehicle,
- (p) any other function reasonably necessary or incidental to a function conferred by this subsection.

Subs(3) provides that nothing in Part 7 prevents a police officer who is lawfully on premises from exercising a crime scene power or doing any other thing, if the occupier of the premises consents.

*The above powers are draconian and could potentially be used without a warrant in “public places” (such as business premises, common areas of blocks of flats) which are actually privately-owned premises. There is no provision for compensation for people whose property is damaged or interfered with in the course of exercising crime scene powers.*

### **Section 96 - Obstruction or hindrance of person executing crime scene warrant**

A person must not, without reasonable excuse, hinder or obstruct the execution of a crime scene warrant (maximum penalty 100 penalty units and/or 2 years’ imprisonment).

A person must not, without reasonable excuse, fail or refuse to comply with a request or direction made by a police officer exercising crime scene powers (maximum penalty 10 penalty units).

*There appears to be no threshold requirement that the police action or direction must be reasonable in the circumstances. However, a person who fails to comply with an unreasonable direction may be able to rely on a reasonable excuse defence. Police must also follow the safeguards in s201.*

### **Section 97 - Search warrants not affected**

Nothing in Part 7 prevents police from applying for a search warrant under Part 5, or exercising any other function under LEPAR.

### **Section 98 - Part does not confer additional entry powers**

Part 7 does not provide any additional power, or limit any existing power, to enter premises.

## **Part 8: Powers relating to arrest**

### **Section 99 – Power of police officers to arrest without warrant**

This substantially replicates the existing police power in *Crimes Act* s.352(1) and (2).

Subs(1) provides that a police officer may arrest a person:

- (a) in the act of, or immediately after, committing an offence under any Act or statutory instrument (*therefore not common law offences*);

- (b) who has committed a serious indictable offence for which they have not been tried;
- (c) on reasonable suspicion of having committed an offence under any Act or statutory instrument (*therefore not common law offences*);

*It is pleasing to note that police no longer have the power to arrest someone who is loitering at night and who police suspect may be about to commit an offence.*

*It is important to note that police still have power under Bail Act s50 to arrest for breach of bail, and a common law power (preserved by LEPAR s4) to arrest for breach of the peace.*

Subs(3) introduces some important principles to be taken into account in deciding whether to make an arrest. Police must not arrest unless they suspect on reasonable grounds that it is necessary to arrest to achieve one or more of the following purposes:

- (a) to ensure the appearance of someone before court;
- (b) to prevent repetition or continuation of the offence or the commission of another offence;
- (c) to prevent the concealment, loss or destruction of evidence;
- (d) to prevent harassment of, or interference with, any potential witness;
- (e) to prevent the fabrication of evidence; and
- (f) to preserve the safety or welfare of the person.

*This basically reflects the common law position (enunciated in many cases including DPP v Carr (2002) 127 A Crim R 151, per Smart J at 159) that arrest should be used as a last resort. However an arrest that was merely improper in Carr's case would now be unlawful under LEPAR.*

*Note also that s8 of the Children (Criminal Proceedings) Act creates a presumption that children should not be arrested (although in my experience this seems to be honoured more in the breach than in the observance).*

*The safeguards in Part 15 (s.201) apply to arrest. Some of these safeguards already exist at common law (eg being told the reason for the arrest - Christie v Leachinsky [1947] 1 All ER 567).*

Subs(4) provides that a police officer who arrests a person must, as soon as reasonably practicable, take the person and any property found on them before an authorised officer (defined in s3 to include Registrars and the like) to be dealt with according to law.

*Although the objectives of subs(4) are sound (to ensure that police do not detain people for improper purposes or for longer than necessary) it does not reflect current reality. Nor does it sit well with other provisions of the Act, especially Part 9 (formerly Crimes Act Part 10A) and s.105 (which gives police the power to discontinue an arrest).*

### **Section 100 – Power of other persons to arrest without warrant**

Replicates the citizen's arrest power currently in *Crimes Act* s.352(1). A person other than a police officer may arrest a person:

- (a) in the act of committing an offence under any Act or statutory instrument (*therefore not common law offences*); or
- (b) who has just committed any such offence (*therefore not common law offences*); or
- (c) who has committed a serious indictable offence for which he or she has not been tried.

*A citizen does not have the power to arrest on suspicion (a fact often overlooked by security guards, loss prevention officers and the like). The person making the arrest must be satisfied that the offence has been committed (Brown v G J Coles (1985) 59 ALR 455).*

*A citizen also has a common law power to arrest for breach of the peace (while not expressly preserved by LEPAR s4, it has not been expressly repealed either).*

*Although the safeguards in s201 do not apply to a citizen's arrest, the common law requirements in Christie v Leachinsky [1947] 1 All ER 567 do apply.*

As with s.99(4), subs(2) requires the person making the arrest to take the arrestee before an authorised officer to be dealt with according to law. *Again, this does not reflect current practice, whereby people under citizen's arrest are usually handed over to the police.*

### **Section 101 – Power to arrest with warrant**

This simply confirms that police may arrest a person upon a warrant, even if the warrant is not in the police officer's possession.

### **Section 102 – Power to arrest persons who are unlawfully at large**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.352AA.

A police officer may arrest a person if he or she suspects on reasonable grounds that the person is unlawfully at large. Police must take the person before an authorised officer as soon as practicable. The authorised officer may, by warrant, commit the person to a correctional centre.

Subs(4) makes it clear that this provision does not apply to escapees from police custody but rather to people who are unlawfully absent from a correctional centre or juvenile detention centre.

Inmates of correctional centres or juvenile detention centres who are unlawfully at large may also be arrested under *Crimes (Administration of Sentences) Act* s.39 or *Children (Detention Centres) Act* s38.

### **Section 103 – Warrant for arrest of person unlawfully at large**

Replicates power from *Crimes Act* s.352AA(7) for police to apply for a warrant to arrest a person unlawfully at large.

### **Section 104 – Power to arrest for interstate offences**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.352A.

Police may arrest a person if they suspect on reasonable grounds that the person has committed an interstate offence (which, if it occurred in NSW, would be an indictable offence or an offence punishable by imprisonment for 2 years or more). The section also deals with the court's power to deal with persons so arrested, and procedures for persons granted bail or held in custody after being arrested for interstate offences.

### **Section 104A – Arrest by commander of aircraft**

This is the former section 353C of the *Crimes Act*. It was transferred to LEPAR on 12 December 2006.

It allows a person in command of an aircraft to arrest a person in relation to an offence on (or in relation to or affecting the use of) an aircraft.

This power may be exercised upon reasonable suspicion. There are also powers to place a person under restraint or in custody, or (if the aircraft is not in flight) remove the person from the aircraft, if considered necessary.

**Section 105 – Arrest may be discontinued**

Provides that a police officer may discontinue an arrest at any time. Without limiting the scope of the section, subs(2) provides that an arrest may be discontinued if the arrested person is no longer a suspect or the reason for the arrest no longer exists, or if it is more appropriate to deal with the matter in some other manner (eg warning, caution, penalty notice, court attendance notice, *Young Offenders Act*).

**Section 106 – Person helping in covert operations not under arrest**

If a person in custody following an arrest agrees voluntarily to take part in a covert investigation, the person ceases to be under arrest for the offence (*this means, among other things, that police are not subject to the time limits set by the detention after arrest provisions in Part 9*). This does not prevent the person from being re-arrested for the offence.

**Section 107 – Part does not affect alternatives to arrest**

Nothing in Part 8 affects the power of police to commence proceedings otherwise than by arresting the person, or to issue a warning or caution or penalty notice.

**Section 108 – Part does not affect *Young Offenders Act 1997***

Nothing in Part 8 requires a police officer to arrest a person under 18 if it is more appropriate to deal with the matter under the *Young Offenders Act*.

*This provision is commendable but, in my view, it does not go far enough. For a child to be diverted to a caution or conference under the *Young Offenders Act*, he or she must admit the offence in the presence of a responsible adult. To facilitate this, police commonly arrest the young person, based on the rationale that this is necessary to ensure that the young person is accorded their Part 10A rights and has access to legal advice, support people, etc. In my view this reasoning is spurious; a young person can be accorded these rights without being arrested.*

---

**Part 8A: Use of police in-car video equipment**

*Part 8A is already in force, having commenced on 23 December 2004.*

**Section 108A – Definitions**

"ICV equipment" means in-car video equipment, being equipment installed in a vehicle and capable of recording visual images and sound outside the vehicle (including by means of a microphone that is separate from the equipment and vehicle).

"Police activities" means activities engaged in by a police officer while exercising any functions of a police officer.

"Police vehicle" means a vehicle used or operated for the purposes of police activities.

"Vehicle" includes a motorcycle or other cycle, and "driver" includes the rider of a motorcycle or other cycle.

A reference in Part 8A to the "driver" of a police vehicle includes, in the case of a police vehicle that is not being driven, a reference to the police officer responsible for the use and operation of the vehicle as driver.

**Section 108B - Police activities requiring use of ICV equipment**

(a) the pursuing or following of a vehicle with the intention to stop or detain the vehicle, or

- (b) activities in relation to a vehicle that has been stopped or detained, or in relation to the driver or any occupant while in or about the vehicle (whether or not this follows a stop or detention pursuant to s108B(a)).

### **Section 108C - Mandatory use of ICV equipment**

If a police vehicle is fitted with ICV equipment, police must ensure that, while the vehicle is being used in the course of police activities that require the use of ICV equipment:

- (a) ICV equipment is used for recording a view from the police vehicle of those activities, and
- (b) ICV equipment is used to record a conversation between the police officer and the driver (or occupant) of a vehicle that is stopped or detained.

The authority to record a conversation (“primary conversation”) extends to conversations inadvertently or unexpectedly recorded in the course of recording the primary conversation.

Failure to operate ICV equipment or to record a conversation does not of itself limit or affect the admissibility of evidence, and does not of itself result in the evidence being improperly or unlawfully obtained.

### **Section 108D - Person to be informed that conversation will be recorded**

Persons must be informed that their conversation is to be recorded using ICV equipment, either immediately before, or as soon as practicable after, the recording commences. *Recording of a conversation does not require the person’s consent.*

This section does not extend to conversations recorded inadvertently, unexpectedly or incidentally to the recording of another conversation.

### **Section 108E - Recording not authorised after arrest**

A conversation must not be recorded under Part 8A after the person’s arrest (except for conversations inadvertently or unexpectedly recorded during the time between person’s arrest and the first reasonably practicable opportunity to discontinue recording of the conversation).

This section only applies to sounds, not the recording of visual images of the conversation.

### **Section 108F –Operation of Listening Devices Act 1984**

Recording of conversations via ICV equipment does not constitute the use of a listening device under the *Listening Devices Act 1984*.

### **Section 108G - ICV recordings to be kept for 2 years**

The Commissioner of Police must ensure recordings made are kept for 2 years.

### **Section 108H - Corrupt disclosure and use of ICV recordings**

ICV recording constitutes personal information about another person for the purposes of ss62 and 63 of the *Privacy and Personal Information Protection Act 1998*. This ensures that the protections against corrupt disclosure and use of personal information under the *Privacy and Personal Information Protection Act 1998* will apply to ICV recordings. The maximum penalty for offences under those provisions is 100 penalty units and/or 2 years’ imprisonment.

---

## **Part 9: Investigations and questioning**

This substantially replicates Part 10A of the *Crimes Act*. *Part 10A has been reviewed by an Attorney-General’s Department working party and this may ultimately result in some amendments to LEPAR Part 9.*

**Division 1 – Preliminary****Section 109 – Objects of Part**

Replicates the objects currently contained in *Crimes Act* s.354.

**Section 110 – Definitions**

Replicates the definitions currently in *Crimes Act* s.355.

Defines “detention warrant”, “investigation period”, and “permanent Australian resident”. Lists the circumstances under which a person is deemed to be under arrest for the purpose of Part 9.

**Section 111 – Persons to whom part applies**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356.

Part 9 applies to any person (including a juvenile) who is under arrest by a police officer for an offence, but does not apply to a person detained under Part 16 (the intoxicated persons provisions).

*It is unfortunate that at least some of Part 9 does not apply to people arrested for breach of bail or on bench warrants. Although police will usually allow the arrestee to contact a lawyer or support person in this situation, this is a discretionary matter and not a right.*

**Section 112 – Modification of application of part to certain persons**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356A.

Provides that regulations may modify the application of Part 9 in relation to children, Aboriginal persons or Torres Strait Islanders, persons of non-English speaking background, or persons with a disability (whether physical, intellectual or otherwise) (*note that this is different to the old term “persons with impaired physical or intellectual functioning”*). The regulations may provide for a shorter investigation period for these classes of persons.

*The LEPAR Regulations substantially replicate the current Crimes (Detention After Arrest) Regulations insofar as they provide additional protection for “vulnerable persons”. However, there is no provision for a shorter investigation period.*

**Section 113 – Effect of part on other powers and duties**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356B.

Clarifies that Part 9 does not confer any power to arrest a person or to detain a person who has not been lawfully arrested, nor does it independently confer power to carry out an investigative procedure.

Part 9 does not prevent a police officer from asking or causing a person to do a particular thing that the police officer is authorised by law to ask or cause the person to do (eg requiring a driver to submit to a breath analysis).

Part 9 does not affect the operation of other laws including the *Evidence Act*, any law that permits or requires a person to be present at the questioning of another person (eg *Children (Criminal Proceedings) Act* s13), the right to silence, the right to leave police custody if not under arrest, and the rights of a person under the *Bail Act*.

**Division 2 – Investigation and questioning powers****Section 114 – Detention after arrest for purposes of investigation**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356C.

A police officer may detain a person under arrest for the investigation period provided for by s.115, for the purpose of investigating whether the person committed the offence for which they are under arrest.

If, while the person is detained, the police form a reasonable suspicion about the person's involvement in any other offence, police may also investigate the person's involvement in that other offence.

The person must be released (unconditionally or on bail) before the end of the investigation period, or brought before an authorised officer or court (before, or as soon as practicable after, the end of the investigation period).

There are limits on the investigation period where a person is arrested more than once within 48 hours.

### **Section 115 – Investigation period**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356D.

The investigation period must be “reasonable having regard to all the circumstances”, and must not exceed four hours (or a longer period if extended by a detention warrant).

### **Section 116 – Determining reasonable time**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356E.

Provides that all relevant circumstances of the particular case must be taken into account. Subs(2) provides a non-exhaustive list of factors.

In any criminal proceedings in which the reasonableness of the detention period is in issue, the burden lies on the prosecution to prove on the balance of probabilities that the period was reasonable.

### **Section 117 – Certain times to be disregarded in calculating investigation period**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356F.

Provides a list of time out periods. Note that these are not unlimited; most are subject to a reasonableness requirement. In any criminal proceedings in which there is an issue as to whether a certain period should be regarded as time out, the burden of proof is on the prosecution on the balance of probabilities.

The time taken to prepare, make and dispose of any application for a crime scene warrant is now included in the list of time out periods (it has been added to subs (1)(1) as of 12 December 2006.

### **Section 118 – Detention warrant to extend investigation period**

Substantially replicates *Crimes Act* s.356G.

Before the end of the investigation period, police may apply to an authorised officer for a warrant to extend the investigation period. A detention warrant may extend the maximum investigation period by up to 8 hours; it cannot be extended more than once. Subs(5) provides a list of factors of which the authorised officer must be satisfied.

Subs(6) is a new provision requiring the custody manager to give the person a copy of the warrant, and inform the person of its nature and effect, as soon as reasonably practicable.

### **Section 119 – Detention warrants**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356H.

Provides that an application for a detention warrant may be made in person or by telephone. Division 4 of Part 5 sets out warrant application procedures.

In any criminal proceedings where the existence of a detention warrant is in issue, the burden lies on the prosecution to prove on the balance of probabilities that a warrant was issued.

### **Section 120 – Information and application for detention warrant**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356I.

Specifies the information that must be included in an application for a detention warrant. *Cl.36 of the LEPAR Regulations requires further information to be included in the case of a vulnerable person.*

### **Section 121 – Detention after arrest for purposes of investigation may count towards sentence**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356W.

Allows a court to take into account any period of detention and to reduce the sentence it would otherwise have passed.

## **Division 3 – Safeguards relating to persons in custody for questioning**

### **Section 122 – Custody manager to caution and give summary of Part to detained person**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356M.

As soon as practicable after a person comes in to custody, the custody manager (defined in s.3) must, orally and in writing:

- (a) caution the person that they do not have to say or do anything but that anything they say or do may be used in evidence; and
- (b) give the person a summary of the provisions of Part 9, including information about detention warrants.

The person is to be requested to sign an acknowledgement that the information has been so given.

### **Section 123 – Right to communicate with friend, relative, guardian or independent person and legal practitioner**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356N.

A person under arrest may communicate (or attempt to communicate) with a friend, relative, guardian or independent person *and* a legal practitioner of the person's choice, and ask these persons to attend the police station.

The custody manager must inform the person of this right (orally and in writing).

The custody manager must, as soon as practicable, allow the person reasonable facilities to make such a communication and, as far as is practicable, provide facilities where the communication will not be overheard. If a friend, relative, etc or legal practitioner attends the police station, police must provide facilities for the detainee to communicate with them in private.

The custody manager must defer for a reasonable period any investigative procedure to allow the person to make, or attempt to make, contact with a friend, relative, legal practitioner etc, and to allow the person to attend the police station and speak with the detainee. However, the investigation period is not required to be deferred for more than 2 hours.

A legal practitioner may be present during any investigative procedure if the detainee requests.

*As with the current Regulations, the LEPAR Regulations provide protection for “vulnerable persons” (children, ATSI, NESB and people with impaired physical or intellectual functioning).*

*A vulnerable person may have a support person present (as well as a lawyer) during any investigative procedure (cll. 26, 27). A support person is not restricted to being a mere observer (cl. 30). Note that this is instead of, not in addition to, the right to contact a friend or relative under s123. The support person would presumably also be expected to fulfil the role of independent adult during any police interview with a child under Children (Criminal Proceedings) Act s13 or Young Offenders Act s10.*

*The custody manager must, as far as practicable, assist a vulnerable person to exercise their rights under Part 9 (LEPAR Reg cl. 25).*

*In the context of Crimes Act Part 10A and the Crimes (Detention After Arrest) Regulations, it has been held that the right of a person under 18 to seek legal advice has not been accorded unless the police have informed the child about the Legal Aid Hotline for Under-18s and have given the child a reasonable opportunity to call it (R v Cortez, CE, ME, Ika & LT, unreported, NSWSC, Dowd J, 3 October 2002)*

*In the case of ATSI detainees, police must contact an ATSI legal service (cl. 33). Most Aboriginal Legal Services in NSW have custody hotlines.*

*Vulnerable persons who are non-indigenous adults do not generally have the benefit of a free, after-hours legal advice hotline. It is unclear how far the custody manager's obligation extends in terms of assisting such people to obtain legal advice.*

#### **Section 124 – Right of foreign national to communicate with consular official**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356O.

Applies to a detained person who is not an Australian citizen or a permanent Australian resident, and is in addition to the rights conferred by s123.

#### **Section 125 – Circumstances in which certain requirements need not be complied with**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356P.

The custody manager may refuse to allow the detainee to communicate with a friend, relative, guardian or independent person if the custody manager believes on reasonable grounds that doing so is likely to result in destruction of evidence, physical harm to any person, an accomplice avoiding arrest, etc. *Note that nothing authorises police to refuse access to a legal practitioner.*

#### **Section 126 – Provision of information to friend, relative or guardian**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356Q.

If a person claiming to be a friend, relative or guardian contacts the police station enquiring as to the whereabouts of the detained person, the custody manager must tell the detained person. The custody manager must provide information to the friend, relative or guardian if the detained person consents (subject to exceptions similar to those in s125).

#### **Section 127 – Provision of information to certain other persons**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356R.

Imposes similar requirements if the police are contacted by a person claiming to be the detainee's lawyer, a consular official or someone who in a professional capacity is concerned with the welfare of the detained person.

#### **Section 128 – Provision of interpreter**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356S.

Requires the provision of interpreters for detainees who are not fluent in English or who have a disability which impairs their communication. However, this requirement need not be complied with if not reasonably practicable.

### **Section 129 – Right to medical attention**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356T.

The custody manager must arrange immediately for medical attention if it appears that the person requires medical attention or if the person requests it on grounds that appear reasonable to the custody manager.

### **Section 130 – Right to reasonable refreshments and facilities**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356U.

The custody manager must ensure that the person is provided with reasonable refreshments and access to toilet facilities. They may also provide washing/shaving facilities if it is reasonably practicable and if the custody manager is satisfied that the investigation will not be hindered.

### **Section 131 – Custody records to be maintained**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356V.

Specifies the details must be included in custody records. *Division 2 (cll. 19-22) of the LEPAR Regulations impose further requirements in relation to custody records.*

## **Division 4 – Regulations**

### **Section 132 – Regulations**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.356X.

Provides that Regulations may make provision for the exercise of functions and record-keeping under Part 9. *Part 3 of the draft LEPAR Regulations substantially replicate the Crimes (Detention After Arrest) Regulations.*

## **Part 10: Other powers relating to persons in custody and to other offenders**

### **Division 1 – Taking of identification particulars from persons in custody**

*Crimes Act ss353AC-AD, which allow police to take fingerprints etc from adults served with penalty notices or CANs, have not been re-enacted into LEPAR. It appears they will remain in the Crimes Act.*

### **Section 133 – Power to take identification particulars**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.353A(3).

Police may take all particulars necessary to identify a person who is in lawful custody for any offence. If the person is over 14 (*this presumably means 14 or over*) this may include the person's photograph, fingerprints and palm prints (see s136 for procedures applicable to children under 14).

### **Section 134 – Orders for the taking of identification particulars**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.353A(4).

This allows a court to order a person to attend a police station for the taking of identification particulars, once an offence has been proved against a person. The section applies to indictable offences and certain traffic offences.

### **Section 135 – Lawful custody of persons other than police officers**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.353A(3C)

Provides that a reference in Division 1 to “lawful custody” is a reference to lawful custody of the police or other authority (cf s.3, which defines “lawful custody” as police custody).

If a person is in lawful custody in a place other than a police station, police powers under s.133 or 134 may be exercised by the person in charge of that place or a person normally under their supervision.

### **Section 136 – Identification particulars of children under 14 years**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.353AA with some changes.

If police wish to take a photograph, fingerprint or palm print of a child under 14 who is in lawful custody for an offence, an officer of or above the rank of Sergeant must apply to the Children’s Court (or, if not possible to apply to the Children’s Court within 72 hours of the child being taken into custody, to an authorised officer).

Subs(5) is a *new provision* which sets out the following matters which the court must take into account in deciding whether to make an order:

- (a) the seriousness of the circumstances surrounding the offence;
- (b) the best interests of the child;
- (c) the child’s ethnic and cultural origins;
- (d) so far as they can be ascertained, any wishes of the child;
- (e) any wishes expressed by the parent or guardian of the child.

Subs(6) provides that a child must not be held in custody for the purpose only of an application being made under this section.

### **Section 137 – Destruction of certain identification particulars relating to children**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.353AB.

If a court finds an offence against a child not proved, the court must give the child (and the child’s parents or guardian if practicable, and any other person who has the care of the child) a notice stating that if they wish, the court will order the destruction of any photographs, fingerprints, palm prints, and any other prescribed records, and the court may make the order accordingly.

“Prescribed records” means records of the kind prescribed for the purposes of s.38(1) of the *Children (Criminal Proceedings) Act 1987* (*there appears to be nothing so prescribed by the Children (Criminal Proceedings) Regulation*).

*Children (Criminal Proceedings) Act s38 requires the Children’s Court to order the destruction of photographs, fingerprints, palm prints and other prescribed records if the child has been found not guilty or if the matter is dismissed under s33(1)(a) of the Act. The court may order the destruction of such records in other cases where the court believes the circumstances justify it.*

*Therefore, insofar as the Children’s Court is concerned, s137 is redundant. However, it has application to other courts dealing with children.*

**Section 137A – Destruction of fingerprints and palm prints (adults and children)**

This section was added by the *Police Powers Legislation Amendment Act 2006*, commencing on 12 December 2006.

A person from whom any fingerprints or palm prints are taken under Part 10 Division 1 in relation to an offence may request the Commissioner to destroy the prints if the offence is not proven. “Not proven” includes being found not guilty, acquitted, or having a conviction quashed and an acquittal entered on appeal. It also includes the situation where proceedings have not been commenced, or have been discontinued, 12 months after the prints were taken (but see section 137B).

A request must be made in writing and, in the case of a child, may be made by a parent or guardian on the child’s behalf.

The Commissioner must destroy the prints as soon as reasonably practicable after receiving the application.

**Section 137B – Extension of period at the end of which fingerprints and palm prints may be destroyed**

This section was added by the *Police Powers Legislation Amendment Act 2006*, commencing on 12 December 2006.

The police or DPP may request an extension to the 12 month period provided for in section 137A. A Magistrate may grant the extension if satisfied there are special reasons for doing so.

**Division 2 – Examination of persons in custody****Section 138 – Power to examine person in custody**

Replicates *Crimes Act* s.353A(2) (with some changes in wording).

A medical practitioner acting at the request of a police officer of the rank of Sergeant or above may examine a person in lawful custody for the purpose of obtaining evidence *if the person in custody has been charged with the offence*, and there are reasonable grounds for believing that an examination of the person may provide evidence as to the commission of the offence.

In this section a reference to “lawful custody” is a reference to lawful custody of the police or other authority. If the person is in custody in a place other than the police station, the powers of a police officer may be exercised by the person who is normally supervised by that person.

*It is unclear how this provision interacts with the provisions of the Crimes (Forensic Procedures) Act. Certainly such an examination would fall within the definition of “forensic procedure” (and in many cases would constitute an intimate forensic procedure) and would be subject to the safeguards provided by that Act. However, it seems that LEPAR authorises such an examination without the safeguards required by the Crimes (Forensic Procedures) Act.*

**Division 3 – Taking of identification particulars from other offenders****Section 138A – Taking of fingerprints and palm prints from persons issued penalty notices**

This is the old *Crimes Act* section 353AC, transferred to LEPAR on 12 December 2006.

This applies to people issued with penalty notices under the *Criminal Procedure Act*. Note that this includes only the criminal infringement notices trial and not to general penalty notices for traffic, parking, railway offences, etc.

A police officer who serves such a penalty notice may require the person to submit to having his or her fingerprints and/or palm prints taken and may, *with the person's consent*, take the person's prints.

A requirement under this section must not be made of a person aged under 18.

The Commissioner must ensure that prints taken under this section are destroyed on payment of the penalty notice, if the penalty notice is withdrawn, or if the offence is dealt with by a court and the person is found not guilty or the charge is dismissed.

The transitional provisions make it clear that the requirements for destruction extend to prints taken under the old *Crimes Act* section 353A(c).

### **Section 138B – Taking of fingerprints and palm prints from persons required to attend court**

This is the old *Crimes Act* section 353AD, transferred to LEPAR on 12 December 2006.

A police officer who serves a court attendance notice personally on a person who is not in lawful custody for an offence may require the person to submit to having his or her fingerprints and/or palm prints taken and may, *with the person's consent*, take the person's prints.

Such a requirement must not be made of a person under 18.

### **Section 138C – Safeguards for the exercise of powers to obtain fingerprints and palm prints without arrest**

This is the old *Crimes Act* section 353AE, transferred to LEPAR on 12 December 2006.

When exercising a power to require prints to be taken under section 138A or 138B, a police officer must provide the person with:

- evidence that they are a police officer (unless they are in uniform);
- name and place of duty;
- the reason for the exercise of power; and
- a warning that, if the person fails to comply with the requirement, the person may be arrested for the offence concerned and that, while in custody, the person's fingerprints and palm prints may be taken without the person's consent.

*Their appears to be no separate power to arrest for the purpose of taking fingerprints and palm prints. Presumably police would argue that it is necessary to arrest a person for one of the purposes listed in section 99(3) – possibly (a), to ensure the appearance of the person before court.*

---

## **Part 11: Drug detection powers**

### **Division 1 – Drug premises**

Division 1 replicates the drug premises powers in the *Police Powers (Drug Premises) Act* without any substantive amendments.

*Note that it is only the provisions on police powers that are replicated in LEPAR. The offence provisions (being on drug premises, etc) have been transferred to the Drug Misuse and Trafficking Act as Part 2B (ss36V-36ZC).*

The *Police Powers (Drug Premises) Act* has recently been reviewed by the NSW Ombudsman, who has made some recommendations for amendments to the Act. The Ombudsman's report is available at <http://www.nswombudsman.nsw.gov.au/publication/otherreports.asp>.

### **Section 139 – Definitions**

Replicates *Police Powers (Drug Premises) Act* s.3.

Defines various terms including “drug premises”, which means any premises used for the unlawful supply or manufacture of prohibited drugs. Note that, for the purposes of this Division, “prohibited drug” does not include cannabis leaf, oil or resin.

### **Section 140 – Issue of search warrant – suspected drug premises**

Replicates *Police Powers (Drug Premises) Act* s.5. Amended by *Police Powers Legislation Amendment Act* 2006, commencing on 12 December 2006.

A police officer in charge of an investigation into the suspected use of premises as drug premises may apply for a search warrant if the officer has reasonable grounds for believing that the premises are being used for the unlawful supply or manufacture of any prohibited drug or the unlawful cultivation of prohibited plants by enhanced indoor means.

The authorised officer may issue a warrant if satisfied there are reasonable grounds for doing so.

Part 5 Division 4 applies to warrants issued under this Division.

### **Section 141 – Execution of search warrant**

Replicates *Police Powers (Drug Premises) Act* s.6.

Authorises police to do certain things (including passing through other land or buildings, breaking open doors, etc) for the purpose of executing a search warrant.

### **Section 142 – Search and arrest of persons pursuant to search warrant**

Replicates *Police Powers (Drug Premises) Act* s.7. Amended on 12 December 2006.

A police officer executing a search warrant under this Division may:

- (a) search any person on the premises;
- (b) arrest or otherwise proceed against any person on the premises (note that it is an offence to be upon drug premises);
- (c) seize and detain any firearm or other thing found on the premises that police have reasonable grounds for believing is connected with an offence;
- (d) without limiting (c) seize any prohibited drug and money found on the premises and any syringe or other thing kept or used in connection with any activity prohibited by the *Drug Misuse and Trafficking Act*; and
- (e) request (amended from “require” on 12 December 2006) any person on the premises to disclose his or her identity.

*It is unclear whether the powers to search a person, to seize money etc are subject to the usual requirements of reasonable suspicion. From the context it would appear that reasonable suspicion is not required.*

### **Section 143 – Obstructing police officer executing search warrant**

Replicates *Police Powers (Drug Premises) Act* s.9.

It is an offence to wilfully prevent, obstruct or delay an officer executing a search warrant. This includes alerting other persons to the presence of police (maximum penalty 50 penalty units and/or 12 months' imprisonment).

It is also an offence to fail to disclose identity, or to give a false name or address, in accordance with a request in accordance with this Division and s.201 (maximum penalty 50 penalty units).

### **Section 144 – Application of other laws**

Replicates *Police Powers (Drug Premises) Act* s.18.

Provides that Division 1 does not limit the operation of the *Disorderly Houses Act* 1943 or any other State law relating to the entry and searching of premises.

### **Division 2 – Use of drug detection dogs**

This Division replicates the *Police Powers (Drug Detection Dogs) Act* 2001 without any substantive amendments.

This Act has also recently been reviewed by the NSW Ombudsman. The final report has not been released, but the Discussion Paper (which highlights some significant problems with the Act's operation) is at <http://www.nswombudsman.nsw.gov.au/publication/discussion.asp>.

### **Section 145 – Meaning of “General Drug Detection”**

Replicates *Police Powers (Drug Detection Dogs) Act* s.5.

Defines “general drug detection” as the detection of prohibited drugs or plants in the possession or control of a person, except during a search of the person that is carried out after a police officer reasonably suspects that the person is committing a drug offence.

### **Section 146 – General authority to use drug detection dogs**

Replicates *Police Powers (Drug Detection Dogs) Act* s.4.

Provides that, if police are otherwise authorised to search a person or to enter premises for the purpose of detecting a drug offence, they are entitled to use a dog. The mere entry or presence of a dog on premises under this section does not give rise to liability on the part of the State or the police officer.

### **Section 147 – Use of dogs for general drug detection authorised**

Replicates *Police Powers (Drug Detection Dogs) Act* s.6.

Police are authorised to use dogs for general drug detection, but only as provided by Division 2.

### **Section 148 – General drug detection with dogs in authorised places**

Replicates *Police Powers (Drug Detection Dogs) Act* s.7.

Police may, without warrant, use dogs for general drug detection in relation to persons at, or seeking to enter or leave:

- (a) any part of premises being used for the consumption of liquor that is sold at the premises (other than any part of the premises being used primarily as a restaurant or other dining place);
- (b) a public place at which a sporting event, concert or other artistic performance, dance party, parade or other entertainment is being held;
- (c) a public passenger vehicle (including train, light rail or bus) travelling on a route prescribed by the regulations, or a station, platform or stopping place on any such route.

*Note that cll. 38 and 39 of the LEPAR Regulation replicate the current Police Powers (Drug Detection Dogs) Regulation, prescribing certain public transport routes.*

### **Section 149 – General drug detection with dogs by warrant**

Replicates *Police Powers (Drug Detection Dogs) Act* s.8, replacing “authorised justice” with “authorised officer” as the person to whom a police officer must apply for a warrant.

Police may use dogs for drug detection in other public places if authorised to do so by a warrant. This section provides for the application for, and issue of, warrants. Part 5 Division 4 applies to drug detection warrants (except that no occupier’s notice is required).

### **Section 150 – Provisions relating to general drug detection**

Replicates *Police Powers (Drug Detection Dogs) Act* s.9.

When using a dog to carry out general drug detection, police must keep the dog under control and *take all reasonable precautions to prevent the dog touching a person.*

General drug detection may be carried out as part of a covert operation, but only if specifically authorised by a warrant.

The provisions of this Division do not affect other powers to search persons or premises.

*Nothing in Division 2 confers on police a power to enter any premises that police are not otherwise authorised to enter, or detain a person who police are not otherwise authorised to detain.*

### **Division 3 – Use of medical imaging to search for internally concealed drugs**

This Division substantially replicates the *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act 2001*.

This Act has also recently been reviewed by the NSW Ombudsman. The final report was released in July 2005; see <http://www.nswombudsman.nsw.gov.au/publication/otherreports.asp>.

At the time of the Ombudsman’s review, the Act had only been used once. The suspect was x-rayed and no drugs were detected. Apparently it has not been implemented more widely due to cost, industrial issues, and doubts about the capacity of medical imaging to detect drugs. *The Ombudsman’s principal recommendation is that Parliament consider whether the provisions should remain in force.*

### **Section 151 – Definitions**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.3.

Defines various terms, including “internal search” which means a search of a person’s body involving medical imaging (eg x-ray, ultrasound) but does not include a body cavity search.

The definition of “suspect” makes it clear that the Division only applies to people suspected to be in possession of drugs for the purpose of supply.

### **Section 152 – Eligible judicial officers**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.4.

Provides the types of judicial officers who may make orders for the carrying out of internal searches.

### **Section 153 – Division does not apply to persons under 10**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.6.

An internal search may not be carried out on a person under 10.

### **Section 154 – When may an internal search be carried out?**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.7.

A medical practitioner or appropriately qualified person may carry out an internal search with the informed consent of the suspect. If the suspect is a child or incapable person (defined in s.151) or refuses consent, an eligible judicial officer may make an order.

### **Section 155 – Police officer may detain suspect to request consent, or apply for order for, an internal search**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.8.

Police may detain a person to request their consent to (or seek an order for) an internal search, if the police officer: is satisfied that the person is a suspect; has reasonable grounds to believe the search is likely to produce evidence of a supply offence under the *Drug Misuse and Trafficking Act*; and is satisfied the detention is justified in all the circumstances. The section provides for time limits and time out periods.

### **Section 156 – Police officer may request consent of certain suspects for internal search**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.9.

Sets out procedures for seeking and obtaining consent to an internal search. Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander persons must have a search friend present (or have expressly waived this right) when consent is being requested.

### **Section 157 – Matters that suspect must be informed of before giving consent to an internal search**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.10.

Sets out a list of matters that police must tell the suspect, including the kinds of procedures that may be used, the suspect's rights, and the fact that the suspect may be detained for up to 48 hours if the internal search reveals the presence of matter that could be drugs.

### **Section 158 – Procedure after the carrying out of an internal search**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.11.

If an internal search reveals the presence of any matter that (in the opinion of the person carrying out the search) could be drugs, the suspect may be detained at a hospital or doctor's surgery for up to 48 hours (this may be extended by a detention order under s.182). Otherwise the suspect must be released immediately (unless otherwise in custody).

### **Section 159 – Application for order for internal search**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.12.

Provides for the form of application, which may be made by a police officer to an eligible judicial officer.

### **Section 160 – Procedure at hearing of application for order**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.13.

An order may be made only in the presence of the suspect (subject to any contrary order made by the judicial officer). Children, incapable persons and ATSI persons must have a search friend present (ATSI suspects may waive their right to a search friend). Any suspect may be represented by a legal practitioner.

### **Section 161 – Orders for internal search**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.14.

Sets out the criteria for making of an order. The judicial officer must be satisfied that the person is a suspect, that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the internal search is likely to produce evidence confirming the condition of a drug supply offence, and that the making of the order is justified in all the circumstances.

There are some limits on making orders if an internal search may endanger the suspect's physical health, or if the suspect is a child and the search involves electromagnetic radiation or radiography.

A judicial officer who orders an internal search may also authorise the detention of the suspect for up to 24 hours for the search to be carried out.

### **Section 162 – Internal search**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.15.

An internal search is to be carried out by a medical practitioner or appropriately qualified person at a hospital, surgery or medical practitioner's practising rooms. There is provision for a search friend for children, incapable persons and ATSI persons.

### **Section 163 – Conduct of internal search**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.16.

The person carrying out the search may use any medical procedure or apparatus that they consider to be reasonably safe in the circumstances.

### **Section 164 – Medical practitioner may take action to preserve suspect's life**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.17.

The medical practitioner may take measures that he or she considers necessary because the suspect's life is at risk. If this involves the suspect being removed to another place, the time taken in doing so is regarded as time out for the purpose of calculating the detention period.

### **Section 165 – General rules for carrying out internal search**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.18.

Provides that the internal search must be conducted in circumstances affording reasonable privacy, etc.

### **Section 166 – No questioning during internal search**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.19.

An internal search must not be carried out while a suspect is being questioned, and any questioning must be suspended while the search is carried out.

### **Section 167 – Suspect must be cautioned before internal search**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.20.

Before an internal search commences, a police officer must caution the suspect about the right to silence.

### **Section 168 – Internal searches not to be carried out in cruel, inhuman or degrading manner**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.21.

Provides that nothing in this Division authorises the carrying out of an internal search in a cruel, inhuman or degrading manner, but that the carrying out of an internal search is not of itself taken to be cruel, inhuman or degrading.

### **Section 169 – Medical practitioner or appropriately qualified person to prepare report**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.22.

The person who performs the internal search must, as soon as practicable after completing it, provide a written report to the Commissioner of Police. This section is not limited by any law relating to privilege or confidentiality.

### **Section 170 – Suspect’s rights during detention**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.23.

A person detained under this Division may at any time consult a legal practitioner or communicate with another person (in the latter case, this communication may be stopped if police believe on reasonable grounds that it should be stopped in order to safeguard the processes of law enforcement or protect the life and safety of any person).

### **Section 171 – Interpreters**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.24.

Requires police to arrange an interpreter for a suspect who is unable to communicate with reasonable fluency in English.

### **Section 172 – Withdrawal of consent**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.25.

If a person expressly withdraws consent to the carrying out of an internal search before or during a search, a search is not to proceed except by order of an eligible judicial officer.

### **Section 173 – Powers and entitlements of legal representatives and search friends**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.26.

A request or objection that may be made by a suspect under this Division may instead be made on their behalf by a legal practitioner or search friend. If a provision requires a suspect to be informed of a matter, any legal representative or search friend who is present must also be informed.

### **Section 174 – Recording or giving of information and suspect’s responses**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.27.

If practicable, police must electronically record the giving of information about the proposed internal search and the suspect’s responses. If this is not practicable, a written record must be made and a copy made available to the suspect.

### **Section 175 – Obligation of police officers relating to recordings**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.28.

Requires police to afford the suspect, search friend and legal representative the opportunity to view/listen to electronic recordings.

### **Section 176 – Material required to be made available to suspect**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.29.

Provides ways in which material must be made available to a suspect.

### **Section 177 – No charge for material**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.30.

Confirms that material required to be provided under the Division must be provided free of charge.

### **Section 178 – Burden of proof**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* ss.31-34.

The onus lies on the prosecution to prove, on the balance of probabilities, factors such as reasonable suspicion, waiver of right to search friend, that it was not practicable to do something required by the Division, etc.

### **Section 179 – Liability of medical practitioners and appropriately qualified persons**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.35.

Exempts persons carrying out internal searches from liability if they have acted in good faith and believe on reasonable grounds that consent or a court order has been obtained.

### **Section 180 – Medical practitioners and appropriately qualified not obliged to carry out internal searches**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.36.

Nothing in this Division *requires* a medical practitioner or appropriately qualified person to carry out an internal search.

### **Section 181 – Relationship to Part 9**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.37.

Confirms that nothing in this Division is intended to limit the rights and protections provided by Part 9, and that the rights and protections conferred by this Division are in addition to those conferred by Part 9. The section also provides for the maximum period for which a person may be detained under this Division.

### **Section 182 – Detention orders**

Police may apply to a judicial officer to extend the maximum period for which a suspect may be detained. The period of extension must not exceed 48 hours and the period may not be extended more than twice.

### **Section 183 – Restrictions on publication**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.39.

Creates an offence of publishing the name or identifying information of a suspect subject to an internal search, unless the suspect has been charged with a relevant offence or a judicial officer has authorised such publication (maximum penalty 50 penalty units and/or 12 months imprisonment).

### **Section 184 – Lists of search friends**

Replicates *Police Powers (Internally Concealed Drugs) Act* s.40.

Requires the Minister for Police to establish and maintain lists of appropriate search friends for ATSI detainees (but not for children or incapable persons).

---

## Part 12: Powers relating to vehicles and traffic

Most police powers in relation to traffic (eg accidents, random breath testing, speed measuring devices) remain in the *Road Transport (Safety and Traffic Management) Act 1999* and the *Road Transport (General) Act 2005*. LEPAR Part 3 Div 2, Part 4 Div 5 and Part 8A also contain traffic-related powers.

### Division 1 – Regulation of traffic

#### Section 185 - Police may give reasonable directions for traffic regulation

Provides that a police officer may give reasonable directions for the safe and efficient regulation of traffic to any driver or motorcycle rider on or near a road or road related area. *Note that it is an offence under the Australian Road Rules to fail to obey a reasonable direction for the regulation of traffic (maximum penalty 20 penalty units).*

#### Section 186 – Police may temporarily close road or road related area to traffic

Replicates *Road Transport (Safety and Traffic Management) Act s.74*.

Police may close any road or road-related area to traffic during any temporary obstruction or danger to traffic or for any temporary purpose. It is an offence to fail or refuse to comply (without reasonable excuse) with a direction of a police officer given in pursuance of a power conferred by this section (maximum penalty 20 penalty units). *Police must first follow the procedures set out in s201.*

### Division 2 – Other police powers relating to vehicles

#### Section 187 – Use of tyre deflation devices in police pursuits

Replicates *Road Transport (General) Act 2005 s.267* (formerly *Road Transport (General) Act 1999 s.51*).

The Commissioner for Police may authorise the use of tyre deflation devices in a police pursuit.

#### Section 188 – Power of entry for tracing stolen motor vehicles or trailers or their parts

Replicates *Road Transport (General) Act 2005 s.266* (formerly *Road Transport (General) Act s.50*)

A police officer authorised to do so by the Commissioner may enter vehicle repair (panel beating) premises and inspect any motor vehicle, trailer or parts for the purposes of ascertaining whether it is stolen. It is an offence to wilfully delay or obstruct a police officer in the exercise of this authority (maximum penalty 20 penalty units).

### Division 3 – Powers to prevent intoxicated drivers from driving

#### Section 189 – Power to prevent driving by persons who are under the influence of alcohol or other drugs

Replicates *Road Transport (Safety and Traffic Management) Act s.30(1)-(3)*, with one addition.

Police may take certain measures to prohibit intoxicated persons from driving, including confiscating keys, immobilising the vehicle or removing and detaining the vehicle. They are not authorised to do so for a period that is longer than necessary in the circumstances.

If the police officer suspects that the person is under the influence of alcohol, the person may request to undergo a breath test. In this situation the police officer may not take any of the above measures before the person undergoes a breath test. The new subs(3) provides that this

requirement is waived if the police officer reasonably suspects that the person is likely to abscond before undergoing the breath test.

### **Section 190 – Detention of keys or vehicles may be continued**

Replicates *Road Transport (Safety and Traffic Management) Act* s.30(4) and (5).

Sets out the circumstances in which police are required to return the keys or vehicle.

### **Section 191 – Offence to contravene prohibition or requirement**

Replicates *Road Transport (Safety and Traffic Management) Act* s.30(6) and (7).

It is an offence to fail or refuse to comply with a prohibition or requirement made by a police officer, or to attempt to obstruct a police officer in the exercise of any power, under this Division (maximum penalty 10 penalty units). The court must be satisfied that the police officer had reasonable grounds for believing that, in the circumstances, the action taken was necessary in the interests of the person or of any other person or of the public.

### **Section 192 – Expenses incurred in connection with Division**

Replicates *Road Transport (Safety and Traffic Management) Act* s.30(9).

Expenses incurred in connection with the immobilisation, removal or detention of the motor vehicle may be recovered from the driver or owner as a debt in a court of competent jurisdiction.

## **Part 13: Use of dogs to detect firearms and explosives**

This replicates Part 6A of the *Firearms Act*, which was required to be monitored by the Ombudsman. It appears the Ombudsman's review is still ongoing. A Discussion Paper is available at <http://www.nswombudsman.nsw.gov.au/publication/discussion.asp>.

### **Section 193 – Definitions**

Maintains the definition of “relevant firearms or explosives offence” as contained in *Firearms Act* s.72A, and the definition of “general firearms or explosives detection” as contained in *Firearms Act* s.72D.

### **Section 194 – Part does not confer the power of entry or detention**

Replicates *Firearms Act* s.72B.

Confirms that Part 13 does not confer powers to enter or detain.

### **Section 195 – General authority to use dogs for detecting firearms or explosives**

Replicates *Firearms Act* s.72C.

This is in similar terms to s.146 (which relates to use of drug detection dogs).

### **Section 196 – General firearms or explosives detection using dogs**

Replicates *Firearms Act* s.72D.

Police may use dogs to carry out general firearms or explosives detection without warrant. Police must keep the dog under control, and take all reasonable precautions to prevent the dog from touching a person. This section does not affect the search of a person whom police reasonably suspect is committing a firearms or explosives offence.

## Part 14: Powers to give directions

In its original form, Part 14 replicated *Summary Offences Act* s28F. However, there have been some amendments by the *Police Powers Legislation Amendment Act 2006* (commencing 12 December 2006) which, in combination with amendments to s.201, have changed the nature of the information and warnings police are required to give.

The direction-giving power was reviewed by the NSW Ombudsman in 1999 (“Policing Public Safety” report published November 1999). The power to give directions to persons in relation to drug activity was introduced in 2001 by the *Police Powers (Drug Premises) Act*, which has recently been reviewed by the Ombudsman. Copies of both review reports are available at [www.nswombudsman.nsw.gov.au/publication/otherreports.asp](http://www.nswombudsman.nsw.gov.au/publication/otherreports.asp).

*Unfortunately the legislature has done nothing to address most of the problems identified by the Ombudsman, and there is still a significant problem with police giving inappropriate directions, especially to young people, indigenous people and drug users. However, the provisions do contain some safeguards and there are a number of elements which the police must prove in order to successfully prosecute a person for failure to comply. Unfortunately most allegations of disobeying police directions are dealt with by infringement notice and are rarely contested.*

### Section 197 – Power to give reasonable directions in public places

Replicates *Summary Offences Act* s.28F (1)-(7A) and (8).

Police officer may give a direction to a person in a public place if they have *reasonable grounds to believe* that the person’s *conduct or presence* is:

- harassing or intimidating persons;
- obstructing people or traffic;
- likely to cause fear to a person of reasonable firmness; or
- for the purpose of obtaining or supplying prohibited drugs.

### Section 198 – Requirements relating to direction

Replicates *Summary Offences Act* s.28F (3),(5), (7B), (7C) and (7D), with some amendments commencing on 12 December 2006.

#### *Reasonableness of direction*

There is nothing to specify the nature and duration of direction that may be given. However, subs(1) provides that the direction must be reasonable in the circumstances for the purpose of stopping or reducing the relevant conduct. There is no appellate authority on this point, but a 7-day direction was held by a Local Court Magistrate to be unreasonable because of its arbitrary nature (*Police v SAYSOUTHINH*, Brydon LCM, Liverpool Local Court, 24 May 2002). In the context of a bail decision, Greg James J of the Supreme Court also commented about the inappropriateness of a direction which required a person not to go within 2km of Cabramatta (*R v Truong*, NSWSC, Greg James J, 13 November 2002).

#### *Information that must be provided*

Section 201 requires the police to provide identifying information and the reason for a direction. Until 12 December 2006, this information had to be given before issuing the direction. The amendments to s.201 now mean that:

- if police are giving a direction to an individual, the information required by s.201 must be given before issuing the direction.

- in the case of a direction given to a group, if it is not reasonably practicable to give this information before or during the issuing of the direction, it must be given as soon as practicable afterwards (see s.201(2B)).

### ***Warnings that must be provided***

Until 12 December 2006, s.201 required police to warn the person that failure to comply may be an offence, before giving the direction. Section 198(2) required the police to give another direction and warning if the person failed or refused to comply; however, subs (2) has been repealed.

Police are instead required to comply with s.201(2C), which requires police to provide a warning that the person is required by law to comply with the direction, unless the person has already complied or is in the process of complying. If the person still does not comply, and the police officer believes that the failure to comply is an offence, police must then give a warning that failure to comply with the direction is an offence.

### ***Directions to groups***

Subsections (3) and (4) provide that, when giving a direction to a group, the police officer is not required to repeat the direction, information and warnings to each person in the group. However, this does not give rise to any presumption that each person in the group has received the direction, information or warning.

### **Section 199 – Failure to comply with direction**

Replicates *Summary Offences Act* s.28F (6) and (7).

Refusal or failure to comply with the *second* direction, without reasonable excuse, is an offence (max penalty 2 penalty units).

A person is not guilty of an offence unless it is established that he or she persisted, after the direction was given, to engage in the relevant conduct or any other relevant conduct.

*LEPAR Regulations cl. 40 provides that this offence may be dealt with by penalty notice (prescribed penalty \$220), and this is usually what occurs, although police in some areas (eg Cabramatta) have at times adopted a practice of arresting and charging people for this offence.*

### **Section 200 – Limitation on exercise of police powers**

Replicates *Summary Offences Act* s.28G.

Part 14 does not authorise police to give directions in relation to an industrial dispute, an apparently genuine demonstration or protest, a procession or organised assembly.

## **Part 15: Safeguards relating to powers**

### **Section 201 – Supplying police officers' details and giving warnings**

This re-enacts some existing requirements (previously found in *Crimes Act* s.563, *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act* s.6, *Summary Offences Act* s28F, etc) but goes further in that it applies these requirements to the exercise of other police powers. This section has been amended by the *Police Powers Legislation Amendment Act* 2006, commencing on 12 December 2006.

#### ***Application***

Section 201 applies to the exercise of the following powers (whether or not conferred by LEPAR, but *not* under Acts listed in Schedule 1):

- (a) search or arrest;

- (b) search of vehicle, vessel or aircraft;
- (c) entry of premises (not being a public place);
- (d) search of premises (not being a public place);
- (e) seizure of property;
- (f) stop or detention of a person (other than under Part 16) or a vehicle, vessel or aircraft;
- (g) requesting disclosure of identity;
- (h) establishing a crime scene;
- (i) giving a direction;
- (j) requesting a person to open his or her mouth or shake or move his or her hair (under s.21A)
- (k) requesting a person to submit to a frisk search or produce a dangerous implement or metallic object (under s.26).

Subs(6) (which was inserted on 12 December 2006) makes it clear that the section does not apply to powers exercised under Acts listed in Schedule 1. This would include, for example, that an arrest for breach of bail under the *Bail Act*, the detention of a person under the *Mental Health Act*, a direction given under the Road Transport legislation, or the conducting of a forensic procedure under the *Crimes (Forensic Procedures) Act*.

***Information and warnings that must be provided***

Until the amendments commencing on 12 December 2006, police were required to provide the person with:

- (a) evidence that the police officer is a police officer (unless he or she is in uniform);
- (b) the police officer's name and place of duty;
- (c) the reason for the exercise of the power;
- (d) a warning that failure or refusal to comply may be an offence.

Police coined the acronym "WIPE" to help officers remember these four requirements:

W = warn person that failure to comply may be an offence

I = inform person of reason for exercise of power

P = provide name and place of duty

E = evidence that officer is a police officer

As of 12 December 2006, police are not always required to provide a warning about failure to comply. This amendment was apparently enacted in response to concerns expressed by the police that giving a warning to a person who is already complying is inappropriate and may provoke some hostility.

Subs(2C) now requires that, if exercising a power that involves the making of a request or direction that a person is required to comply with by law, the police officer must, as soon as is reasonably practicable after making the request or direction, provide the person with a warning that the person is required by law to comply with the request or direction. However, this warning need not be given if the person has already complied or is in the process of complying.

If the person does not comply with the request or direction after being given that warning, and the police officer believes that the failure to comply is an offence, police must then warn the person that failure to comply is an offence.

***Time at which information and warnings must be provided***

When exercising a power to *request identity, give a direction to an individual, or request a person to open their mouth or shake or move their hair*, police must give this information *before* exercising the power. [Note that, until 12 December 2006 this requirement also applied to a power under s.26 to request a person submit to a frisk search or to produce a dangerous implement or metallic object.]

In relation to all other powers listed (*including giving a direction to a group*), police must provide the above information *before or at the time of* exercising the power, if it is practicable to do so. Otherwise they must provide it *as soon as reasonably practicable afterwards*.

In relation to warnings that are required to be given, this only applies to powers to give requests or directions. The warning(s) must be given as soon as practicable after issuing the request or direction, but not if the person has complied or is complying.

***Powers exercised by two or more officers***

If two or more officers are exercising a power to which s.201 applies, only one officer is required to comply with the section. However, if a person asks another officer present for their name or place of duty, the officer must give the information requested.

***Police exercising more than one power at the same time***

If a police officer is exercising more than one power on a single occasion, in relation to the same person, police must only provide name and place of duty, and evidence that they are a police officer, once. However, they must provide the reason for the exercise of each power.

**Section 204 – Detention period for search limited**

Provides that a police officer who detains a vehicle, vessel or aircraft for a search must not detain it for longer than is reasonably necessary for that purpose.

**Part 16: Powers relating to intoxicated persons**

The *Intoxicated Persons Act* has been re-enacted as Part 16 of LEPAR.

*It is strange that these powers have been included in LEPAR , because these are not really law enforcement powers. In my view they are more akin to police powers under the Mental Health Act, Children & Young Persons (Care & Protection) Act, etc.*

**Section 205 – Definitions**

Replicates *Intoxicated Persons Act* s.3.

Defines various terms, including “intoxicated person”. This definition reflects amendments made to the *Intoxicated Persons Act* in recent years. It means a person who appears to be seriously affected by alcohol or another drug or a combination of drugs.

**Section 206 – Detention of intoxicated persons**

Replicates *Intoxicated Persons Act* s.5(1), (2), (3), (4), (7) and (8).

Police may detain an intoxicated person found in a public place who is behaving in a disorderly manner or in a manner likely to cause injury to him/herself or another person or damage to

property, or who is in need of physical protection because of their intoxication. A person is not to be detained under this section because of behaviour that constitutes an offence.

An intoxicated person must be taken to, and released into the care of, a responsible person (such as a friend, family member or staff member at a welfare or drug rehabilitation service) who is willing immediately to undertake the care of the person.

An intoxicated person may instead be detained in a police station (or, if relevant, juvenile detention centre) if it is necessary to do so temporarily for the purpose of finding a responsible person, if a responsible person cannot be found, or if the intoxicated person is behaving so violently that a responsible person would not be capable of taking care of and controlling the person.

The intoxicated person may be detained under such reasonable restraint as is necessary to protect the person and others from injury and to protect property from damage.

The section does not authorise a responsible person into whose care an intoxicated person is released to detain the intoxicated person.

### **Section 207 – Detention of persons in authorised places of detention**

Replicates *Intoxicated Persons Act* s.5(5) and (6).

Sets out the rights of intoxicated persons who are detained in police stations or detention centres. These include being given a reasonable opportunity to contact a responsible person, being kept separately from persons in custody for offences, and for adults to be kept separately from children.

### **Section 208 – Searching detained persons**

Replicates *Intoxicated Persons Act* s.6.

A police officer or other detention officer may search the intoxicated person. They may take possession of the person's personal belongings, which must be returned when the person ceases to be detained.

### **Section 209 – Records**

Replicates *Intoxicated Persons Act* s.7.

If a person is detained in a police station or detention centre, or is searched, under Part 16, records must be kept in accordance with the Regulations. This section was amended by the *Crimes and Courts Legislation Amendment Act 2005*. The amendment, together with the *Law Enforcement (Powers & Responsibilities) Amendment Regulation 2006*, commenced on 24 February 2006. This section was further amended by the *Police Powers Legislation Amendment Act* on 12 December 2006, to make it clear that this section does not require a record to be made in relation to the detention or search of an intoxicated person if another person has already made a record of that matter.

### **Section 210 – Police officers and others not liable for certain acts or omissions**

Replicates *Intoxicated Persons Act* s.8.

Provides that no action lies against any police officer, detention officer or other person for acts or omissions done in good faith in the execution or purported execution of Part 16.

---

## **Part 16A: Powers relating to fortified premises**

This division was introduced by the *Crimes Legislation Amendment (Gangs) Act 2006*, which commenced on 15 December 2006.

### **Section 210A – Definitions**

Defines “fortification” to mean security measures involving structures or devices that are either:

- intended or designed to prevent or impeded police access to the premises; or
- have (or could have) the effect of preventing or impeding police access and are excessive for the particular type of premises.

Provides for a Local Court, on application by the Commissioner for Police, to make a fortification removal order directing the owner or occupier to remove or modify any fortifications.

The criteria for making a fortification removal order, are set out in this section.

As to procedure, Part 6 of the *Local Courts Act 1982* applies.

### **Section 210C – Application for fortification removal order**

Sets out procedures for the making of these applications. This is in accordance with part 6 of the *Local Courts Act 1982*, with some modifications.

### **Section 210D – Enforcement of fortification removal order**

Provides for police to enforce such orders if fortifications are not removed or modified within the period required by any order. A police officer authorised by the Commissioner may:

- enter the premises without warrant;
- use such force as is reasonably necessary for entry;
- make use of such assistants as considered necessary to remove or modify the fortifications;
- seize anything required to be removed for the purpose of complying with the order;
- do anything else reasonably necessary to remove or modify the fortifications to the extent required by the order.

Before entering premises, the Commissioner must serve a notice on the occupier; this effectively gives seven days notice of intention to enter.

The Commissioner may recover costs incurred by action under this section as a civil debt from the person who caused the fortifications to be put in place.

### **Section 210E – Hindering removal or modification of fortifications**

It is an offence to do anything with the intention of preventing, obstructing or hindering the removal or modification of fortifications in accordance with a fortification removal order. (maximum penalty 100 penalty units and/or six months imprisonment).

### **Section 210F – Liability for damage**

No action lies against the Crown or any person for damage to property resulting from the enforcement of a fortification removal order.

However, an owner may recover reasonable costs associated with repair or replacement of property damaged as a result of fortifications or enforcement of a fortification removal order as a debt from any person (eg a tenant) who caused the fortifications to be constructed.

**Section 210G – Extension of order**

Provides for the Commissioner to extend the period for compliance with a fortification removal order on application made by the owner or occupier of the premises.

**Section 210H – Withdrawal of order**

If the Commissioner decides that a fortification removal order will not be enforced, he or she is to lodge a withdrawal notice with the court. The fortification removal order then ceases to have effect.

**Section 210I – Application of planning controls**

A consent or approval under the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* is not required to carry out any work required to be carried out to comply with or enforce a fortification removal order.

**Section 210J – Delegation**

Provides for the Commissioner to delegate functions conferred by part 16A (other than the power of delegation) to a Deputy or Assistant Commissioner.

**Part 17: Property in police custody****Division 1 – Confiscated knives and other dangerous articles and implements**

**Division 1 (ss211-214)** deals with knives and dangerous articles seized or confiscated under s28. It substantially replicates *Summary Offences Act* ss28B-E.

The owner of such an article may apply in writing to the relevant Local Area Commander for its return (if under 18, a parent or guardian must apply on their behalf). There is provision for the owner to appeal to the Local Court if the property is not returned by police. Items may be forfeited to the Crown if a court so orders, or if the owner has not applied for the return of the items within a certain period.

**Division 2 – Other property in police custody**

**Division 2 (ss215-229)** substantially re-enacts the provisions currently found in *Criminal Procedure Act* Chapter 7 Part 2 (ss318-331).

s 216 provides that Division 2 applies to property in police custody (whether or not in connection with an offence), other than articles to which Division 1 applies and livestock to which the *Stock Diseases Act* applies.

s217 provides a right to inspect seized things.

s218 provides that police may return property to its owner (or the person from whom it was seized) if the property is not needed as evidence and if it is lawful for the owner to possess it.

s219 empowers courts to make orders for the return of property to its owner (or if the owner cannot be ascertained, for forfeiture to the Crown).

s220 provides for the disposal of property not returned to its owner within one month after any relevant criminal proceedings are finalised.

s221 provides for the disposal of unclaimed property not connected with an offence.

ss222-227 replicate *Criminal Procedure Act* ss325-330 and provide procedures for dealing with livestock in police custody (s227 provides that these provisions are in addition to the other provisions of Division 2).

s228 provides that a person lawfully entitled to property which has already been disposed of may apply to the Treasurer for any proceeds of sale, etc.

s229 provides that an application for return of property may be made to the Local, District or Supreme Court, depending on the value of the property. An application may also be made to the court dealing with an offence relating to the property (regardless of its value).

## **Part 18: Use of force**

### **Section 230 – Use of force generally by police officers**

It is lawful for a police officer exercising a function under LEPAR (or any other Act or law) and anyone helping the police officer, to use such force as is reasonably necessary to exercise the function.

### **Section 231 – Use of force in making an arrest**

A police officer or other person who exercises a power of arrest may use such force as is reasonably necessary to make the arrest or to prevent the escape of the person after arrest.

*This power reflects the existing common law.*

## **Part 19: Miscellaneous**

### **Section 232 – Protection of police acting in execution of warrant**

Replicates *Police Act* 1990 s.215.

Protects police from liability if acting in good faith in execution of a defective warrant.

### **Section 233 – Admissibility of evidence of searches**

Replicates *Summary Offences Act* s.28H.

Provides that evidence of a thing found in a search is not inadmissible merely because it is a dangerous article or implement different to that referred to in the reason given for the search. *This appears to relate only to searches under s26.*

### **Section 234 – Proceedings for offences**

Provides that offences under LEPAR are to be dealt with summarily.

### **Section 235 – Penalty notices**

Provides that the Regulations may make provision for offences under LEPAR to be dealt with by penalty notice. *So far the only offence that appears to be so prescribed by the Regulations is the offence of disobeying a police direction under s199.*

### **Section 236 – Onus of proof of reasonable excuse**

Most of the offences under LEPAR have a reasonable excuse defence. This section provides that the onus lies on the defendant to prove reasonable excuse (*presumably on the balance of probabilities*).

**Section 237 – Commissioner’s Instructions**

Provides that the Commissioner for Police may issue instructions relating to powers under LEPAR, but they may not be inconsistent with the Act.

**Section 238 – Regulations**

Provides a regulation-making power and sets an upper limit on the maximum penalty for any offences created by the Regulations.

**Section 242 – Monitoring of operation of certain provisions of Act by Ombudsman**

Provides for the Ombudsman to review Part 4 Division 2 (search of persons on arrest or in custody), Part 5 Division 3 (notices to produce), Part 7 (crime scenes), and any associated safeguards. *It is unfortunate that the review is so limited in scope.*

**Section 243 – Review of Act**

The Minister for Police and the Attorney-General are to review the entire Act to ascertain whether its policy objectives remain valid and whether the terms of Act remain appropriate for securing those objectives. This section originally provided that the review was to take place as soon as possible after 3 years from the date of assent (however, this was meaningless, given that assent took place on 29 November 2002, over 3 years before commencement). The amendments which commenced on 12 December 2006 provide that the review is now required to be carried out as soon as possible after 3 years from 1 December 2005.

**Schedules**

**Schedule 1** lists the Acts which are not affected by LEPAR (although some of them have had some sections transferred into LEPAR). These are:

*Bail Act 1978*  
*Casino Control Act 1992*  
*Children and Young Persons (Care and Protection) Act 1998*  
*Children (Care and Protection) Act 1987*  
*Children (Criminal Proceedings) Act 1987*  
*Children (Protection and Parental Responsibility) Act 1997*  
*Crimes Act 1900*  
*Crimes (Administration of Sentences) Act 1999*  
*Crimes (Forensic Procedures) Act 2000*  
*Criminal Procedure Act 1986*  
*Drug Misuse and Trafficking Act 1985*  
*Law Enforcement and National Security (Assumed Identities) Act 1998*  
*Law Enforcement (Controlled Operations) Act 1997*  
*Liquor Act 1982*  
*Listening Devices Act 1984*  
*Mental Health Act 1990*  
*Registered Clubs Act 1976*  
*Road Obstructions (Special Provisions) Act 1979*  
*Road Transport (General) Act 2005*  
*Road Transport (Safety and Traffic Management) Act 1999*  
*State Emergency and Rescue Management Act 1989*  
*State Emergency Service Act 1989*  
*Telecommunications (Interception) (New South Wales) Act 1987*

*Wool, Hide and Skin Dealers Act 1935*  
*Young Offenders Act 1997*

**Schedule 2** lists numerous other Acts under which search warrants may be issued.

**Schedule 3** lists the Acts which have been repealed by LEPAR.

**Schedule 4** provides for amendment of various Acts and statutory instruments.

**Schedule 5** contains savings and transitional provisions.

---

## Conclusion

LEPAR, while commendable, still lacks coherence and has failed to address some of the more problematic aspects of police powers in NSW.

Whilst it appears that police may have changed their practices by taking more care to give information and warnings (as required by s.201) it does not appear to have brought about a significant change in police culture and practice.

Disappointingly, s.99(3), which gives legislative backing to the principle of arrest as a last resort, does not seem to have made police think more carefully before arresting suspects. In the author's experience, it also appears that magistrates are generally reluctant to find that an arrest was unlawful and are perhaps rather conservative in their interpretation of this legislative provision.

Most amendments to the Act (apart from those enacted to correct bad drafting) have been enacted in response to requests from the police. Recommendations made by the Ombudsman and concerns raised by civil liberties groups appear to have been largely ignored.

---

## Acknowledgements

Thanks to:

Andrew Haesler and Mary Spiers for their very helpful papers on LEPAR.

Andrew Haesler's paper (revised March 2006) is on the Public Defenders website at:  
[http://www.lawlink.nsw.gov.au/lawlink/pdo/ll\\_pdo.nsf/pages/PDO\\_papersbypublicdefenders](http://www.lawlink.nsw.gov.au/lawlink/pdo/ll_pdo.nsf/pages/PDO_papersbypublicdefenders)

Mary Spiers' paper (2004) is available on the CLRD website at:  
[http://www.lawlink.nsw.gov.au/lawlink/clrd/ll\\_clrd.nsf/pages/CLRD\\_reports](http://www.lawlink.nsw.gov.au/lawlink/clrd/ll_clrd.nsf/pages/CLRD_reports)

Simon Healy at the A-G's department for information about amendments to LEPAR.

Ariane Campbell, Liz Johnstone, Tricia Liew, Mary Spackman and Maria Wang at the Shopfront for their help with putting together this paper.

Any errors or omissions are my responsibility. Questions, corrections, suggestions, and queries will be gratefully received by email at [jane.sanders@freehills.com](mailto:jane.sanders@freehills.com).